Development and Performance Evaluation of Solar-Powered Electric Propulsion System for Small-Scale Fishing Vessels A Case Study in Indonesian Coastal Waters

Reza Juliangga
e-mail: rezajuliangga@dosen.pancabudi.ac.id

Universitas Pembangunan Panca Budi

Abstract

The Indonesian fishing industry faces a critical challenge where escalating fuel prices have created economic hardships for coastal communities, leading many fishermen to abandon their traditional livelihoods. This research explores a practical solution by developing and testing an electric propulsion system powered entirely by solar energy, specifically designed for small fishing boats operating in Indonesian coastal waters. Through iterative design and extensive field testing at Belawan Port in Medan, we evaluated a prototype system consisting of photovoltaic panels, battery storage, and a permanent magnet DC motor driving a chain-coupled propeller assembly. Our real-world testing revealed several interesting findings: when carrying a realistic operational load of 120 kilograms, the boat achieved sustainable cruising speeds around 2.1 kilometers per hour under typical wave conditions. The solar charging infrastructure, built from readily available 60-watt peak panels, demonstrated reliable energy capture even during variable weather, accumulating sufficient charge to support daily fishing activities. We observed notable variations in energy consumption patterns - the motor drew considerably less power at moderate speeds compared to maximum throttle, suggesting optimal operational strategies for fishermen. Beyond the technical measurements, our calculations show this system could pay for itself within several months of regular use while eliminating ongoing fuel costs entirely. These results point toward a viable pathway for helping fishing communities reduce their dependence on expensive fossil fuels while maintaining their ability to earn income from the sea.

Keywords: *Renewable Marine Propulsion, Artisanal Fishing Technology, Photovoltaic Boat Systems, Sustainable Coastal Transport, Energy-Autonomous Vessels.*

Introduction

Indonesia stretches across more than seventeen thousand islands, creating one of the world's longest coastlines at roughly 81,000 kilometers. When you consider that nearly three-quarters of Indonesia's territory consists of ocean waters - approximately 5.8 million square kilometers - you begin to understand why fishing has sustained coastal communities here for generations [1]. Yet despite this natural abundance, something troubling has been unfolding along these shores over the past decade.

During our preliminary fieldwork in 2016, conversations with fishermen around Belawan Port revealed a common story: many were choosing to stay ashore rather than venture out to sea. The mathematics of their situation had become untenable. A fisherman might spend his entire morning's catch just covering the cost of fuel for his small boat. Government statistics confirmed what we heard anecdotally - somewhere around one and a half million Indonesian fishermen had already left the profession by that year [2]. The culprit wasn't declining fish stocks or new regulations, but something more fundamental: the price of gasoline had climbed beyond what small-scale fishing operations could economically justify.

This presented us with an intriguing engineering challenge. Could renewable energy technology offer these communities a practical way forward? The question felt particularly relevant given Indonesia's geographic advantages. Unlike temperate regions where solar energy remains seasonal or unpredictable, equatorial coastal areas receive remarkably consistent sunlight [3]. Stand on a beach in Sumatra at midday and you'll understand immediately - there are no mountains casting shadows across the water, no tall buildings blocking the sun's path. For roughly twelve hours each day, solar radiation beats down on these open waters with an intensity that engineers dream about when designing photovoltaic systems.

Previous researchers had explored solar-powered boats, of course, but typically for recreational purposes or in developed countries with different economic constraints [4][5]. What Indonesian fishing communities needed was something entirely different - a system robust enough for daily commercial use, simple enough for operators with limited technical training, and most critically, affordable enough to make economic sense for families who had just barely been scraping by even before fuel prices rose. This research emerged from that specific need.

The objectives guiding this work included: first, understanding exactly how much thrust a fishing boat actually requires under real operating conditions; second, thoroughly characterizing how battery systems behave in marine environments; third, developing practical guidelines for matching motor specifications to different vessel sizes and loading conditions; and finally, answering whether this actually makes economic sense for communities operating under significant financial constraints.

Literature Review

The heart of our propulsion system relies on photovoltaic technology, which directly transforms sunlight into usable electrical current. While most people encounter solar panels on rooftops or in desert installations, their application to marine environments introduces fascinating complications and opportunities. At their core, solar cells exploit a quantum mechanical phenomenon: when photons strike certain semiconductor materials, they can knock electrons loose from their atomic bonds [6]. The silicon wafers inside typical solar panels contain intentionally introduced impurities - what engineers call "doping" - that create internal electric fields. These fields act like one-way doors for electrons, allowing them to flow in a particular direction when excited by incoming light.

Each individual solar cell generates only about half a volt when illuminated, barely enough to power a watch [7]. Making something useful requires connecting many cells together in series, much like stacking batteries end-to-end. A typical module combines thirty-six cells to produce twelve volts, which matches the voltage most marine electrical systems expect. Real-world performance inevitably falls short of laboratory specifications. The panel's temperature matters enormously; paradoxically, solar panels actually work less efficiently when they get very hot, even though heat correlates with bright sunshine [8]. Their mounting angle relative to the sun affects output dramatically. Even the accumulation of salt spray on the glass surface can reduce efficiency by several percentage points.

While solar panels capture energy, electric motors transform that stored electrical potential into the rotational motion needed to turn a propeller. When electric current flows through a wire placed within a magnetic field, it experiences a force perpendicular to both the current direction and the field lines [9]. This fundamental principle underlies every electric motor ever built. The clever part comes from arranging multiple current-carrying wires on a rotating shaft, positioned so that as each wire moves through the magnetic field, the resulting forces all push in the same rotational direction.

Our motor uses permanent magnets rather than electromagnets to create the necessary magnetic field. This choice trades some flexibility for significant gains in efficiency and simplicity [10]. Permanent magnet motors don't waste energy constantly energizing field windings, and they eliminate one potential failure point. For a fishing boat that might operate daily for years, these reliability considerations outweigh the inability to adjust field strength that permanent magnets entail.

Before getting too deep into propulsion systems, we should address a more fundamental question: why does our boat float at all? When you place any object in water, it displaces some volume of that water. The displaced water has weight, and that weight presses upward against the object - what we call buoyant force [11]. Archimedes realized that this upward force exactly equals the weight of the displaced water, regardless of what material the object consists of. For a boat to float, its average density must be less than water's density.

Recent studies have demonstrated the viability of solar-powered marine vessels in various contexts [12][13]. However, most existing research focuses on recreational applications or larger commercial vessels in developed economies. Research specific to artisanal fishing applications in developing regions remains limited, particularly regarding economic viability and practical implementation challenges [14][15].

Research Methodology

This research employs experimental design methodology involving iterative system design, physical prototype construction, comprehensive performance testing, and detailed analysis of results. We began with extensive conversations with fishermen around Belawan Port, trying to understand their actual needs rather than what we imagined they might need. These discussions revealed some surprising priorities. Maximum speed mattered less than we initially assumed - most fishing in these coastal waters happens relatively close to shore. Instead, fishermen emphasized reliability and simplicity [16].

The fabrication happened in a modest workshop on Jalan Gedung Arca, using tools no more sophisticated than those found in a typical Indonesian motorcycle repair shop. This wasn't an accident - we deliberately constrained ourselves to fabrication methods and equipment that fishing communities could realistically access. If our prototype required precision machining or specialized welding techniques, it might work beautifully as a laboratory demonstration while remaining utterly impractical for the communities we hoped to serve.

Belawan Port provided our testing ground for good reasons beyond simple convenience. The waters there experience typical conditions for Indonesian coastal fishing - moderate wave action, occasional strong currents during tidal changes, and the kind of biological activity that quickly coats any submerged surface with marine growth. We conducted our primary trials during September through November 2016, deliberately spanning both calmer and rougher weather periods.

The system architecture consisted of three twenty-watt peak solar panels arranged in series, creating a sixty-watt array producing roughly thirty-six volts under optimal conditions. Our storage consisted of three twelve-volt, 7.2 ampere-hour lead-acid batteries wired in series for thirty-six volts total, providing about 260 watt-hours of usable storage. The five-hundred-watt permanent magnet DC motor came from a manufacturer producing components for electric bicycles and small vehicles [17]. The chain drive connected motor to propeller through a 3:1 reduction ratio. We fabricated mounting brackets from PVC sheet and pipe, creating a system that flexed slightly under load rather than transmitting all vibration directly into the hull structure.

The test vessel measured 2.7 meters long by 1.4 meters wide, constructed from lightweight materials - primarily PVC plastic and acrylic sheets. Empty, it weighed only thirteen kilograms, allowing a theoretical maximum load of two hundred kilograms while maintaining safe freeboard. For our testing purposes, we operated with what we considered a realistic working load of 120 kilograms, accounting for one or two fishermen plus their equipment and a modest catch.

Recording meaningful data from a small boat bouncing through coastal waves presents challenges that laboratory testing never reveals. We developed several practical solutions through trial and error. For speed measurements, we used GPS rather than trying to calibrate a water-speed sensor. We measured motor current and voltage at ten-second intervals during performance runs, creating detailed profiles of how power consumption varied with throttle setting and water conditions. Battery charging data required different approaches. Since charging happens slowly, we sampled voltage and current every two minutes during solar charging trials, looking for patterns in how ambient temperature affected charge acceptance rates [18].

Results

4.1 Theoretical Foundation: Calculating Thrust Requirements

Before discussing our experimental results, let me explain the theoretical calculations that guided our motor selection. This mathematics provides important context for understanding whether our real-world performance met, exceeded, or fell short of expectations.

Engineers calculate thrust requirements by working backwards from desired performance. If we want a boat of known mass traveling at a specific velocity, we can determine the power needed to overcome water resistance. The calculation starts with basic physics: power equals work divided by time, and work equals force multiplied by distance.

For our test vessel at maximum loading (213 kilograms including boat, equipment, and cargo), targeting five kilometers per hour, the math works out like this:

The weight force pointing downward equals mass times gravitational acceleration: 213 kilograms × 10 meters per second squared gives us 2,130 newtons. Moving this mass five thousand meters (five kilometers) requires 10,650,000 joules of work. Completing this journey in one hour - which equals 3,600 seconds - means we need roughly 2,960 watts of power available.

This calculation makes several optimistic assumptions that real-world operation would violate. It assumes perfectly calm water with no waves or currents fighting our motion. It assumes our propeller converts all motor power directly into forward thrust without any losses to turbulence or other inefficiencies. It assumes the hull slides through water without creating resistance-inducing disturbances. Real boats operating in real water encounter all these effects and more.

Nevertheless, this calculation tells us something useful: a 500-watt motor operating at maximum efficiency could not possibly push our fully loaded boat to five kilometers per hour. The mathematics forbids it. This doesn't mean our choice of motor was wrong, but rather that we needed to adjust our expectations about maximum load capacity or maximum speed accordingly. Our actual testing used lighter loads around 120 kilograms, where the math suggested our motor might prove adequate.

4.2 Speed Trials: How Fast Does It Actually Go

During our speed trials with a realistic 120-kilogram load, we discovered something that every engineer eventually learns: actual performance rarely matches theoretical predictions exactly, though understanding the reasons why teaches you more than the predictions themselves.

We conducted speed runs at five different motor speeds, measured by tachometer in rotations per minute. At 400 RPM, the boat essentially didn't move - the propeller spun but generated insufficient thrust to overcome the water's resistance. This matched our expectations since propellers need minimum rotational speed before their blades can effectively bite into the water and create meaningful thrust.

At 800 RPM, we observed the boat begin moving, covering roughly twenty meters during a five-minute trial run. This glacial pace - equivalent to about 0.24 kilometers per hour - would frustrate any actual fisherman, but it demonstrated that our propulsion system could at least move the boat when asked. Increasing motor speed to 1,200 RPM improved performance significantly, achieving fifty meters in five minutes or 0.6 kilometers per hour. Still slow by any standard, but now approaching speeds where the boat became marginally useful for short-distance maneuvering.

The interesting results emerged at higher motor speeds. At 1,600 RPM, the boat covered 130 meters in our five-minute trial, translating to about 1.56 kilometers per hour. At maximum tested speed of 2,000 RPM, we achieved 200 meters per five minutes, or 2.4 kilometers per hour in calm water.

Now here's where understanding real operating conditions becomes crucial. That 2.4 kilometer per hour figure assumed perfectly smooth water with no waves, no current, and a recently cleaned hull. Indonesian coastal waters never provide these ideal conditions. Waves continuously form and break, each one either helping or hindering forward progress depending on direction. Currents push boats sideways and backward. Marine growth accumulates on the hull within days of launching, increasing drag substantially.

To account for these real-world factors, we applied what experienced boaters call a resistance coefficient. Based on observations and measurements during trials with typical wave conditions, we estimated that waves and other resistance effects consumed roughly twelve percent of the boat's forward progress for every hundred meters traveled. Using this coefficient, our 2.4 kilometer per hour maximum speed reduced to approximately 2.1 kilometers per hour under realistic conditions.

This speed might seem disappointingly slow to someone accustomed to powerful outboard motors, but context matters. Traditional fishing in these waters often involves traveling a kilometer or two from shore, then drifting or anchoring while setting nets or lines. Return trips with heavy loads of fish don't require high speed. For this specific use case, 2.1 kilometers per hour provides adequate performance while consuming no fuel whatsoever.

4.3 Electrical Performance: The Relationship Between Power and Speed

Understanding how the motor consumed electrical power at different speeds proved critical for helping future users optimize their operations. We measured both voltage and current flowing to the motor at each speed setting, discovering some fascinating patterns in the process.

At the lowest viable operating speed - around 460 RPM - the motor drew only 1.51 amperes at 5 volts, consuming roughly 7.5 watts. This minimal power consumption makes sense when you consider the motor was barely working against the water's resistance. As we increased speed progressively, both voltage and current climbed in response. At our maximum tested speed of 2,344 RPM, the system supplied 30 volts and drew 3.78 amperes, consuming about 113 watts.

Here's what fascinated us about these measurements: the power consumption didn't increase linearly with speed. Doubling the speed required more than double the power because water resistance grows exponentially with velocity. This has profound practical implications.

A fisherman who reduces their speed by just twenty percent doesn't simply save twenty percent of their battery power - they might save thirty or forty percent, dramatically extending their operational range.

We also compared our measured values against theoretical predictions calculated from the motor's rated specifications. The motor was rated at 500 watts maximum power at 36 volts, which suggests it should draw about 14 amperes at full load. Our calculations predicted different current draws at various voltages based on this maximum rating.

The interesting discovery was that our real-world measurements showed consistently lower current consumption than these theoretical predictions - sometimes substantially lower. At 1,600 RPM, for instance, we calculated the motor should draw about 16 amperes based on its specifications, but we measured only 2.59 amperes. This enormous discrepancy initially concerned us until we realized what it indicated: the motor was operating well below its maximum capacity, running in a highly efficient region of its performance curve.

This finding actually validated our design approach. By selecting a motor somewhat larger than minimally necessary, we ensured it wouldn't strain against maximum load continuously. Motors running near their rated limits generate excess heat, wear faster, and convert electrical energy less efficiently into mechanical rotation. Our measurements showed the motor loafing along comfortably, using only a fraction of its potential power - exactly what we wanted for long-term reliability.

4.4 Solar Charging Performance: Harvesting Energy From Sunlight

The solar charging trials revealed patterns that would significantly impact how fishermen might use this system in practice. We conducted detailed measurements during a thirty-minute period on a clear morning, sampling voltage and current every two minutes to capture how charging progressed.

During this trial, ambient temperature fluctuated between 30.7 and 35.2 degrees Celsius - fairly typical for tropical coastal conditions. We noticed that charging current varied somewhat erratically, ranging from 0.0081 to 0.015 amperes across our measurement period. These variations didn't follow a simple pattern but instead reflected the complex interaction between panel temperature, sun angle, and battery charge state.

Over the full thirty-minute period, we accumulated 0.137 ampere-hours of charge, equivalent to roughly 30.8 watt-hours of energy storage. This might not sound like much until you contextualize it. Our battery bank held about 260 watt-hours total capacity, meaning this thirty-minute charging session replenished approximately twelve percent of the battery's capacity. Scale that up to a full day: six hours of good sun would restore about seventy-five percent of a fully depleted battery, while eight hours might achieve complete recharge.

The relationship between temperature and charging current proved more nuanced than simple theory suggests. Conventional wisdom says solar panels lose efficiency as they heat up, which is true - but batteries also charge more readily when moderately warm compared to when they're cool. Our measurements caught these competing effects in action. The highest charging currents occurred not during the absolute hottest moments, but during mid-morning when both panels and batteries had warmed somewhat but neither had yet reached the afternoon's peak temperatures.

We also observed that voltage accumulated in the batteries more consistently than current varied. Starting at 8.11 volts at the beginning of our trial (remember, each of our three twelve-volt batteries was partially discharged), the voltage climbed steadily to 8.93 volts by the end. This 0.82-volt increase represents meaningful charging progress, though the batteries remained substantially below their twelve-volt nominal voltage, indicating considerable remaining capacity to accept charge.

These solar charging characteristics mean a fisherman could leave the boat in sunlight while working on other tasks during midday, returning hours later to find substantially replenished batteries ready for another trip. The system essentially operates itself - no complex charge controllers to configure, no need to monitor charging progress, just leave it in the sun and let physics do its work.

4.5 Battery Discharge Patterns: Understanding Energy Consumption

Perhaps our most practically important findings emerged from studying how batteries discharged under different operating conditions. These tests directly answer the question every fisherman would ask: "How far can I actually go before the battery runs out?"

We conducted discharge testing at two different motor speeds - 1,600 RPM (comfortable cruising) and 2,000 RPM (maximum tested speed). Every five minutes during these trials, we recorded the battery voltage to track how quickly energy depleted from the system.

At the moderate 1,600 RPM setting, batteries discharged remarkably slowly. Starting from 10.6 volts, the voltage dropped to 10.5 volts after five minutes, then 10.3 volts after ten minutes, continuing this gradual descent. After thirty minutes of continuous operation, the batteries had only declined to 9.9 volts - a total drop of 0.7 volts. If we conservatively assume the batteries become unusable below 9 volts, this discharge rate suggested roughly sixty minutes of operation at cruising speed before requiring recharge.

The situation changed dramatically at maximum speed. At 2,000 RPM, batteries started at 9.8 volts (somewhat lower than the previous test because we began with partially discharged batteries) and plummeted to 9.0 volts within just five minutes. After another five minutes, voltage had crashed to 8.2 volts. By the thirty-minute mark, voltage measured only 5.7 volts - essentially a dead battery.

These measurements reveal something crucial about electric vehicle operation that differs fundamentally from gasoline engines. In a fuel-powered boat, running at maximum throttle consumes more fuel per hour than cruising speed, but the relationship remains relatively linear - twice the speed requires roughly twice the fuel. Electric systems don't work this way. The power needed to overcome water resistance grows exponentially with speed, meaning small reductions in throttle setting create disproportionately large savings in battery consumption.

Consider the practical implications: at 1,600 RPM, our boat achieved 1.56 kilometers per hour and ran for approximately sixty minutes - covering about 1.56 kilometers total. At 2,000 RPM, we reached 2.4 kilometers per hour but only sustained this for perhaps ten minutes before batteries depleted critically - covering maybe 0.4 kilometers. Counterintuitively, going faster actually reduced total range substantially. A fisherman who understood this relationship could extend their operating range simply by exercising patience and accepting slower speeds.

This finding mirrors what electric car researchers have discovered: aggressive acceleration and high speeds devastate range, while moderate, steady operation extends it dramatically. The difference is even more pronounced in marine applications because water resistance increases more severely with speed than air resistance does for cars.

4.6 System Integration: How Everything Works Together

While we've discussed individual components separately, the complete system's behavior emerges from how these pieces interact. Let me walk through a typical operating cycle to illustrate these interconnections.

Imagine a fisherman beginning their day at dawn. Overnight, the solar panels sat dormant while batteries slowly self-discharged (all batteries lose some charge over time even when nothing draws power from them). As the sun rises and begins illuminating the panels, charging current starts flowing. Initially weak when the sun hovers near the horizon, this current strengthens as the sun climbs higher and strikes the panels more directly.

By mid-morning, when the fisherman prepares to depart, several hours of charging have replenished much of the previous day's power consumption. They start the motor, which draws current from the batteries to begin turning the propeller. The initial power surge to overcome the boat's inertia and get it moving exceeds steady-state cruising power, briefly pulling heavy current from the batteries. Once the boat achieves constant speed, power consumption stabilizes at a much lower level.

During the journey to fishing grounds, the solar panels continue generating power. This partially offsets the motor's consumption, though not entirely - the motor draws more power than the panels can supply in real-time. Think of it like running the air conditioner in your car while driving; the alternator generates electricity, but the engine still needs to provide extra power to support both propulsion and accessories. Similarly, our batteries gradually discharge during the outward journey despite simultaneous solar charging.

Upon reaching their destination, the fisherman stops the motor to set nets or lines. Now the solar panels work unopposed, steadily restoring charge to the batteries. Depending on sun intensity and how long the fishing activity continues, batteries might fully recharge before the return journey begins, or at least partially replenish their capacity.

The return trip, now carrying additional weight from the catch, requires slightly more power than the outward journey did. The added resistance from deeper hull submersion and the day's accumulation of marine growth on wetted surfaces both increase power demands. However, if fishing lasted several hours during strong midday sun, the batteries likely hold enough charge to easily complete the return trip.

Back at shore, the fisherman leaves the boat exposed to afternoon sunlight. The panels continue charging batteries until nightfall, preparing the system for the next day's operations. This daily cycle - discharge during travel, recharge during fishing and afternoon rest - mirrors how many people use their phones: drain it during the day, charge it overnight, repeat.

4.7 Economic Realities: Does This Actually Save Money

Technical success means nothing if the economics don't work for the people who need this technology. Let me break down the financial calculations in a way that reflects how Indonesian fishing families actually think about money and investment.

A traditional small fishing boat in this region typically uses a simple outboard motor consuming roughly two liters of gasoline per hour of operation. With fuel prices around $0.70 per liter during our research period (and having risen much higher since then), each hour of fishing cost $1.40 in fuel alone. A fisherman working four hours daily - two hours traveling, two hours actually fishing - spent $5.60 per day just on fuel, not counting engine maintenance, oil changes, or eventual motor replacement.

Multiply this across a full working year: $5.60 per day × 250 working days (accounting for weather, holidays, and equipment repairs) equals $1,400 annually in fuel costs alone. For a family earning perhaps $3,000-4,000 per year from fishing, this fuel expense represented roughly a third of their gross income. No wonder so many abandoned the profession when prices rose.

Now consider our solar-electric system. The components we used - three solar panels, three batteries, one motor, chain drive, and associated hardware - cost approximately $800 to purchase and assemble. This represents substantial money for a fishing family. How could they justify this investment?

Here's where the mathematics become interesting. That $800 initial investment eliminates the $1,400 annual fuel cost entirely. Assuming the equipment requires essentially no ongoing fuel expenses (just occasional maintenance like chain lubrication and eventual battery replacement), the system pays for itself in roughly seven months of normal operation. After that break-even point, every day of fishing saves $5.60 compared to fuel-powered operation.

But wait - batteries don't last forever. Lead-acid batteries like ours typically survive 300-500 charge cycles before capacity degrades significantly. If a fisherman fully discharges and recharges daily, that's roughly 18 months of use before needing new batteries at maybe $150-200 replacement cost. Even accounting for this expense, the system still saves roughly $1,000 annually compared to fuel operation.

Let's also consider what these numbers mean for community-level impact. If a hundred fishing families in one village adopted this technology, they would collectively eliminate $140,000 in annual fuel purchases. That money stays in the local economy rather than flowing to distant fuel distributors. More importantly, these families gain independence from price volatility - when global oil markets fluctuate and fuel costs spike, solar-powered fishermen keep working while their fuel-dependent neighbors struggle.

The analysis becomes even more favorable when you factor in fuel price trends. Gasoline hasn't gotten cheaper over time; if anything, long-term trends point toward increasing costs. A system that achieves payback based on current fuel prices will pay back even faster if prices rise. This provides a hedge against future uncertainty that fuel-powered boats simply cannot offer.

4.8 Environmental Considerations: Beyond Economics

While economic arguments ultimately drive adoption decisions, the environmental implications deserve recognition as well. Small-scale fishing boats don't typically appear in discussions about climate change - people focus instead on cargo ships, airlines, and automobiles. Yet these thousands of small vessels collectively burn substantial quantities of fossil fuel.

Each liter of gasoline combusted releases approximately 2.3 kilograms of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere. Our typical fisherman burning two liters hourly during four hours daily emits about 18.4 kilograms of CO_2 per working day. Across a 250-day working year, that single boat contributes roughly 4.6 tons of carbon emissions annually. Scale this across Indonesia's coastal fishing fleet and the numbers become substantial.

Our solar-electric system produces zero direct emissions during operation. The panels generate electricity through a purely physical process with no combustion involved. The batteries store and release energy through reversible chemical reactions that emit nothing. The motor converts electricity to motion without exhaust. From an operational perspective, the boat leaves no environmental footprint beyond the physical disturbance of water displaced by its hull.

Of course, a complete environmental analysis requires considering manufacturing impacts. Solar panels require energy to produce, often from fossil fuel-powered factories. Batteries contain materials extracted through mining operations with their own environmental costs. However, lifecycle analyses consistently show that renewable energy systems offset their manufacturing impacts within a few years of operation, after which they provide decades of clean energy generation.

There's also the local environmental benefit of eliminating oil spills. Small outboard motors inevitably drip fuel and oil into coastal waters. Multiply thousands of boats each leaking tiny amounts, and you create significant cumulative pollution affecting fish populations and marine ecosystems. Electric boats can't spill oil because they don't carry any - another subtle but real environmental advantage.

4.9 Practical Limitations and Honest Assessments

Scientific integrity requires acknowledging not just what worked, but also what didn't work as well as we'd hoped. Our system demonstrated viability but revealed several areas where improvements would significantly enhance performance.

First, the 500-watt motor, while adequate for light loads and calm conditions, clearly represented a compromise. A 750-watt or 1,000-watt motor would provide more comfortable cruising speeds and better handling of rough weather or heavier loads. The challenge is that more powerful motors require larger battery banks to support them, adding both cost and weight to the system. Finding the sweet spot between performance, cost, and practicality requires considering specific local conditions and user needs.

Second, lead-acid batteries, while affordable and widely available, impose significant weight penalties. The three batteries in our system together weighed roughly 21 kilograms - more than the empty boat itself. Lithium battery technology would provide the same energy storage in perhaps one-third the weight, but at four to five times the cost. For the fishing communities we aimed to serve, that cost premium made lithium batteries impractical, yet the weight issue remains problematic.

Third, the fixed-pitch propeller we used represented an educated guess rather than an optimized solution. Propeller design involves complex hydrodynamics, and properly matching propeller characteristics to motor output and hull shape requires expertise and testing equipment we didn't possess. Commercial electric boat manufacturers spend considerable resources optimizing this interface; our research budget couldn't support that level of development. The propeller worked, but it almost certainly wasn't ideal.

Fourth, our solar panels accumulated salt spray and occasionally bird droppings that reduced their efficiency. In an ideal world, someone would clean them daily, but fishermen have limited time and often consider such maintenance an annoying burden. A truly practical system needs either self-cleaning capabilities or performance margins that tolerate some dirt accumulation. Our design provided the latter but could certainly be improved.

Conclusion

After months of design work, construction, testing, and analysis, several key insights emerged from this research. First and most fundamentally: solar-electric propulsion for small fishing boats is technically feasible with current, affordable technology. The boat moved when we started the motor. The batteries recharged when we left panels in sunlight. The system operated reliably throughout our testing period despite exposure to salt, sun, and the inevitable rough handling that comes with marine use.

Our 500-watt motor proved adequate for the specific conditions we tested - a 120-kilogram load traveling short distances in relatively calm water. The solar charging characteristics we measured revealed a beautiful self-sufficiency: under typical tropical coastal conditions, six to eight hours of sunlight replenishes battery capacity consumed during a normal fishing day. This creates a sustainable cycle where each day's sunlight enables the next day's fishing, continuing indefinitely with no external energy inputs required.

Economically, this technology offers fishing communities genuine independence from volatile global fuel markets. The payback period we calculated - roughly seven months under typical operating conditions - makes this investment economically rational even ignoring environmental benefits. Environmentally, widespread adoption would meaningfully reduce coastal carbon emissions while improving local water quality.

Several clear pathways exist for improving this technology. System scaling represents the most obvious improvement opportunity - most fishing operations would benefit from 750-1,000 watt motors. Battery technology deserves immediate attention as lithium-ion batteries offer dramatic weight reduction and longer lifespan. Propeller optimization could substantially improve efficiency. Long-term durability testing remains crucial, requiring multi-year field trials under actual operating conditions.

This research addressed a specific technical question - can solar power move a fishing boat effectively - but the implications reach into social, economic, and environmental domains that transcend engineering. Technology that works beautifully in principle but fails in practice helps nobody. We demonstrated not just technical feasibility but practical viability for communities operating under significant financial constraints. If this research inspires similar work adapting renewable energy technology for fishing communities elsewhere, then it will have succeeded beyond what our specific numerical results achieved.

References

[1] K. M. Pasaribu, S. Gea, S. Ilyas, T. Tamrin, and I. Radecka, “Characterization of [1] Badan Pusat Statistik Indonesia, "Marine and Fisheries in Figures 2016," Jakarta: Statistics Indonesia, 2017.

[2] T. Kusumastanto, "Ocean Policy in Building Indonesia as a Maritime Country,"Journal of Coastal Development, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 47-56, 2004.

[3] M. Ashari and W. Nabhani, "Optimization of photovoltaic panel design for tropical climate," in Proc. Int. Conf. Electrical Engineering and Informatics, Bandung, Indonesia, 2011, pp. 1-5.

[4] A. Goetzberger, C. Hebling, and H. W. Schock, "Photovoltaic materials, history, status and outlook,"Materials Science and Engineering R: Reports", vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 1-46, 2003.

[5] M. A. Green, K. Emery, Y. Hishikawa, W. Warta, and E. D. Dunlop, "Solar cell efficiency tables (version 45),"Progress in Photovoltaics: Research and Applications, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 1-9, 2015.

[6] R. Krishnan, Electric Motor Drives: Modeling, Analysis, and Control. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall, 2001.

[7] J. R. Hendershot and T. J. E. Miller, Design of Brushless Permanent-Magnet Machines. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010.

[8] F. M. White, *Fluid Mechanics, 7th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2011.

[9] J. E. Shigley, C. R. Mischke, and R. G. Budynas, Mechanical Engineering Design, 8th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2008.

[10] D. V. Kumar and B. Singh, "Solar powered electric boat with battery power management system," in 2018 IEEE Int. Conf. Power Electronics, Drives and Energy Systems (PEDES), Chennai, India, 2018, pp. 1-6.

[11] N. Omar et al., "Lithium iron phosphate based battery - Assessment of the aging parameters and development of cycle life model," Applied Energy, vol. 113, pp. 1575-1585, 2014.

[12] World Bank, "Oceans, Fisheries and Coastal Economies," Washington, DC: World Bank Publications, 2017.

[13] International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA), "Renewable Energy Technologies: Cost Analysis Series - Solar Photovoltaics," Abu Dhabi: IRENA, 2012.

[14] H. Zsiborács et al., "Intermittent renewable energy sources: The role of energy storage in the European power system of 2040," Electronics, vol. 8, no. 7, p. 729, 2019.

[15] A. Yahya, M. Rafiq, and N. H. Hussain, "Development of solar-powered boat as alternative energy for fishing community,"Journal of Advanced Research in Fluid Mechanics and Thermal Sciences, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 169-180, 2019.

[16] B. Sorensen, Renewable Energy: Physics, Engineering, Environmental Impacts, Economics & Planning, 4th ed. Burlington, MA: Academic Press, 2010.

[17] S. A. Kalogirou, "Solar thermal collectors and applications," Progress in Energy and Combustion Science, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 231-295, 2004.

[18] M. H. Rashid, Power Electronics: Circuits, Devices, and Applications, 3rd ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education, 2004.

[19] D. Linden and T. B. Reddy, Handbook of Batteries, 3rd ed. New York: McGraw-Hill,

2002.

[20] P. T. Krein, Elements of Power Electronics. New York: Oxford University Press, 1998.

[21] N. Mohan, T. M. Undeland, and W. P. Robbins, Power Electronics: Converters, Applications, and Design, 3rd ed. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, 2003.

[22] FAO, "The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2016: Contributing to Food Security and Nutrition for All," Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization, 2016.

[23] R. A. Messenger and J. Ventre, Photovoltaic Systems Engineering, 3rd ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2010.