Law Enforcement Challenges in "STNK Only" Motor Vehicle Transactions: A Criminal and Civil Law Perspective

Sugiono, Mhd. Azhali Siregar, T. Riza Zarzani

Abstract

The increasing practice of "STNK Only" motor vehicle transactions, in which vehicles are sold without complete ownership documents, particularly the Vehicle Ownership Certificate (BPKB), creates significant challenges for law enforcement and legal protection. This study aims to analyze the legal challenges faced in handling "STNK Only" motor vehicle transactions from criminal and civil law perspectives and to examine the forms of legal protection available to buyers acting in good faith. This research employs a normative juridical method using statutory, conceptual, and case approaches. Legal materials were analyzed qualitatively through systematic interpretation of relevant criminal and civil law provisions. The results indicate that "STNK Only" transactions may generate both criminal and civil legal consequences, particularly where the transaction involves deception, unlawful possession, vehicles originating from criminal activities, or misrepresentation concerning ownership status. From a civil law perspective, the absence of complete ownership documents creates uncertainty regarding the validity, enforceability, and legal protection of the transaction. The study concludes that effective law enforcement requires stronger verification of vehicle ownership, coordination between law enforcement institutions and vehicle registration authorities, and clearer legal mechanisms for protecting good-faith buyers. The findings imply the need for an integrated approach that combines criminal enforcement, civil remedies, administrative verification, and public awareness to strengthen legal certainty in motor vehicle transactions.

Keywords: "STNK Only"; Motor Vehicle Transactions; Law Enforcement; Criminal Law; Civil Law; Legal Protection.

[1]Sugiono
Master of Laws, Panca Budi Development University, Indonesia
e-mail: sugieyono.9900@gmail.com

[2,3]Mhd. Azhali Siregar, T. Riza Zarzani
e-mail: azhalisiregar@dosen.pancabudi.ac.id, rizazarzani@dosen.pancabudi.ac.id
2nd International Cofference on the Epicentrum of Economic Global Framework (ICEEGLOF)
Theme: Navigating The Future: Business and Social Paradigms in a Transformative Era.
https://proceeding.pancabudi.ac.id/index.php/ICEEGLOF

Introduction

The development of motor vehicle ownership and trading activities in Indonesia has created significant economic and social benefits, but it has also generated various legal problems, particularly concerning the certainty of vehicle ownership and the completeness of ownership documents. A motor vehicle is not merely an economic asset but also an object of legal ownership that must be supported by valid administrative and ownership documents. In practice, however, transactions involving motor vehicles are sometimes conducted through a model commonly referred to as "STNK Only," in which the vehicle is sold with a Surat Tanda Nomor Kendaraan (STNK) but without a Buku Pemilik Kendaraan Bermotor (BPKB). This practice creates legal uncertainty because the STNK primarily functions as evidence of registration and identification of a vehicle, whereas the BPKB constitutes an important document relating to vehicle ownership.

The "STNK Only" transaction becomes increasingly problematic when the buyer is unable to verify the origin and ownership status of the vehicle. The absence of a BPKB may indicate various circumstances, ranging from administrative problems, unpaid obligations, inheritance disputes, collateral relationships, to the possibility that the vehicle originated from a criminal offense. Therefore, the transaction cannot be assessed solely from the existence of a valid STNK. The legal status of the vehicle, the identity of the seller, the source of ownership, and the good faith of the parties must also be examined comprehensively.

From the perspective of criminal law, "STNK Only" transactions may potentially become connected with several forms of unlawful conduct. Where a seller deliberately provides false information concerning the origin or ownership of a vehicle in order to obtain financial benefit, the transaction may contain elements of fraud. Similarly, if the vehicle originates from theft, embezzlement, or another criminal offense, the subsequent transfer of the vehicle may raise questions concerning the criminal responsibility of the persons involved in receiving, possessing, transferring, or concealing the vehicle. Consequently, law enforcement authorities face the challenge of distinguishing between a civil dispute arising from an incomplete transaction and a transaction that contains elements of criminal conduct.

The problem becomes more complex when the buyer claims to have acted in good faith. A buyer may purchase a vehicle because the seller provides an STNK and offers the vehicle at a relatively low price, without knowing that the BPKB is unavailable or that the vehicle may have a problematic legal history. In such circumstances, the buyer's position requires careful legal consideration. The principle of good faith in contractual relationships should be balanced with the obligation of parties to exercise reasonable care and verify the legal status of the object being purchased.

The development of the Indonesian criminal law system demonstrates that criminal law must respond to changes in social relations and emerging forms of unlawful conduct. Siregar, Adrian, and Rambe explain that the development of the criminal law system in Indonesia is inseparable from changes in social conditions and the development of legal concepts concerning criminal responsibility [1]. This perspective is relevant to "STNK Only" transactions because the legal characterization of such transactions cannot be determined merely from the formal appearance of the transaction but requires an examination of the conduct, intention, and legal relationship among the parties.

From the perspective of comparative criminal law, the determination of criminal responsibility also requires an examination of the elements of the relevant offense and the relationship between the conduct of the perpetrator and the resulting legal consequences. Siregar emphasizes the importance of comparative criminal law in understanding different approaches to criminal responsibility and law enforcement [2]. Such an approach is relevant

when analyzing whether the conduct associated with “STNK Only” transactions constitutes a criminal offense or remains within the scope of civil liability.

The administrative aspect of motor vehicle ownership is also important in determining legal certainty. David, Ahmad, Zarzani, and Rafianti explain the importance of the vehicle registration and identification function in supporting law enforcement against motor vehicle crimes [3]. The registration and identification process is not merely an administrative procedure but also has an important role in establishing the identity of a vehicle and its legal relationship with the owner. Therefore, discrepancies between the physical vehicle, STNK, BPKB, and the identity of the seller can become important indicators in law enforcement investigations.

The role of registration and identification institutions becomes particularly important in “STNK Only” transactions because the availability of an STNK alone may not provide sufficient information concerning the complete ownership history of a vehicle. Verification through official administrative databases and examination of the vehicle's identification data can help determine whether the vehicle is legitimately owned, subject to a dispute, reported as lost or stolen, or otherwise associated with unlawful conduct.

In addition, the legal problem must be examined from the perspective of civil law. A motor vehicle sale constitutes a contractual relationship between the seller and buyer. The validity of the agreement is generally associated with the fulfillment of the legal requirements for an agreement, including the agreement of the parties, legal capacity, a specific object, and a lawful cause. However, even where the formal requirements of an agreement appear to have been satisfied, problems may arise when the seller does not have legitimate authority to transfer ownership of the vehicle or when the buyer subsequently discovers that the vehicle's ownership documents are incomplete or problematic.

The principle of good faith is therefore essential in assessing the legal position of the buyer. Good faith requires the parties to act honestly and fairly in establishing and performing contractual relationships. A buyer who has conducted reasonable verification and has no knowledge of the vehicle's problematic status may have a different legal position from a buyer who deliberately ignores obvious indications that the transaction is unlawful. Consequently, legal protection should not be applied mechanically but should take into account the circumstances and conduct of each party.

The issue of “STNK Only” transactions also demonstrates the relationship between criminal law and civil law. A transaction that initially appears to constitute an ordinary sale and purchase may subsequently develop into a criminal case if evidence demonstrates deception, unlawful acquisition, or a connection with a criminal offense. Conversely, not every dispute involving an incomplete BPKB should automatically be classified as a criminal offense. Some disputes may concern contractual obligations, ownership claims, or the failure of a party to fulfill the agreement and therefore require civil remedies.

The distinction between criminal and civil liability is particularly important for law enforcement. Law enforcement authorities must avoid criminalizing purely civil disputes while also ensuring that criminal conduct is not disguised as a contractual dispute. This requires careful examination of the parties' intentions, the origin of the vehicle, the authenticity and status of the documents, the payment process, the representations made by the seller, and the circumstances surrounding the transaction.

The state of the art of this research lies in examining “STNK Only” transactions as a legal phenomenon at the intersection of motor vehicle administration, criminal law, civil law, and legal protection. Previous studies have generally examined motor vehicle theft, vehicle registration and identification, criminal enforcement, or contractual relationships separately. The present research attempts to integrate these perspectives by analyzing how the absence of a BPKB affects the legal position of the parties and how law enforcement should determine whether the transaction constitutes a civil dispute or a criminal offense.

The research gap is particularly evident in the limited discussion concerning the legal position of a good-faith buyer in "STNK Only" transactions. The existence of an STNK may create an appearance of legitimacy, while the absence of a BPKB raises questions concerning the seller's authority and the legal origin of the vehicle. This creates a potential conflict between the principle of good faith in civil law and the need for law enforcement to protect society from transactions involving stolen or unlawfully obtained vehicles.

The scientific novelty of this research lies in developing an integrated criminal-civil analysis model for "STNK Only" transactions. This model places four factors as the basis for legal assessment: (1) completeness and authenticity of vehicle documents; (2) legal origin and ownership history of the vehicle; (3) good faith and conduct of the buyer and seller; and (4) existence or absence of criminal elements.

Vehicle Transaction → Document Verification → Ownership and Vehicle Origin → Good Faith of Parties → Criminal Elements → Civil Consequences → Legal Protection

This approach is intended to prevent the legal analysis from focusing solely on the absence of the BPKB. The absence of the document is an important legal indicator, but it does not automatically establish that a criminal offense has occurred. The legal characterization must be based on the totality of circumstances and available evidence.

The research also considers the importance of law enforcement institutions in verifying vehicle ownership and identity. David et al. emphasize the role of vehicle registration and identification units in supporting criminal law enforcement against motor vehicle theft [3]. This finding strengthens the argument that administrative verification should become an important preliminary mechanism before determining criminal responsibility in transactions involving incomplete vehicle documents.

From the civil law perspective, the research focuses on the consequences of the transaction for the parties. If the seller does not possess legitimate ownership or authority to transfer the vehicle, the buyer may face difficulties in obtaining legal recognition of ownership. The legal consequences may include demands for fulfillment of the agreement, cancellation of the agreement, compensation, or other legal remedies depending on the facts and applicable legal provisions.

From the criminal law perspective, the research focuses on determining whether the conduct of the seller or other parties satisfies the elements of a criminal offense. The analysis must consider the existence of intentional deception, false statements, unlawful possession, knowledge concerning the criminal origin of the vehicle, or other relevant criminal elements. This distinction is necessary to maintain the principle of legality and prevent the arbitrary application of criminal law.

The research addresses three principal problems. First, what are the legal consequences of "STNK Only" motor vehicle transactions from criminal and civil law perspectives? Second, what challenges are faced by law enforcement in determining the legal status and criminal responsibility associated with such transactions? Third, how can legal protection be provided to buyers acting in good faith while maintaining effective law enforcement against unlawful motor vehicle transactions?

The purpose of this research is to analyze the legal consequences of "STNK Only" motor vehicle transactions, examine the challenges faced by law enforcement in distinguishing criminal conduct from civil disputes, and formulate an appropriate legal protection framework for buyers acting in good faith. The research also seeks to strengthen legal certainty through an integrated approach involving document verification, ownership identification, criminal investigation, and civil remedies.

The significance of this research lies in providing a legal framework that can be applied by law enforcement authorities, legal practitioners, vehicle buyers, and other stakeholders when dealing with motor vehicle transactions involving incomplete ownership documents. The research is particularly relevant in strengthening preventive measures because verification of

vehicle documents before a transaction may reduce the risk of buyers acquiring vehicles associated with criminal activities.

Ultimately, the legal challenge of "STNK Only" motor vehicle transactions is not merely the absence of a BPKB but the uncertainty concerning ownership, legal origin, authority to transfer, and the legal consequences of the transaction. Therefore, an effective legal approach must harmonize criminal law and civil law while strengthening administrative verification and protecting good-faith buyers. Such an approach is expected to strengthen legal certainty and prevent motor vehicle transactions from becoming a means of concealing or transferring the proceeds of criminal activities.

Literature Review

2.1 Motor Vehicle Sale and Purchase from the Perspective of Law

The sale and purchase of motor vehicles constitutes a legal relationship between the seller and the buyer that creates rights and obligations for both parties. From a civil law perspective, a sale and purchase agreement creates an obligation for the seller to deliver the object of the transaction and for the buyer to make payment in accordance with the agreement. However, motor vehicle transactions also involve administrative and ownership aspects because motor vehicles are subject to a specific registration and identification system.

The validity of a transaction cannot therefore be assessed solely on the basis of the existence of an agreement and payment. The legal ownership status of the vehicle, the seller's authority to transfer ownership, and the completeness of ownership documents are important factors in determining legal certainty for the buyer. Accordingly, motor vehicle transactions should be understood as legal relationships involving both contractual and administrative dimensions.

In the context of "STNK Only" transactions, legal problems arise when a vehicle is sold with an STNK but without a BPKB. This situation raises questions concerning whether the seller actually has the legal authority to transfer the vehicle and whether the buyer can obtain legal certainty regarding the vehicle purchased.

2.2 Legal Position of STNK and BPKB in Motor Vehicle Ownership

STNK and BPKB have different functions within the motor vehicle administration system. STNK is primarily related to the registration and identification of a vehicle and its legitimacy for operation on public roads, whereas BPKB constitutes an important document relating to motor vehicle ownership. Therefore, the existence of an STNK alone cannot simply be equated with complete proof of ownership.

This distinction becomes particularly important in "STNK Only" transactions. A buyer may assume that the existence of an STNK demonstrates that a vehicle is legally registered and can therefore be sold. However, verification of ownership requires a more comprehensive examination, including the conformity of the vehicle identity, owner's identity, chassis number, engine number, and ownership documents.

David, Ahmad, Zarzani, and Rafianti explain that the Motor Vehicle Registration and Identification Unit has an important role in law enforcement against motor vehicle crimes, particularly through vehicle registration and identification processes [3]. Their findings demonstrate that vehicle registration data serves not merely an administrative purpose but also provides important support for law enforcement.

2.3 "STNK Only" Transactions and Legal Certainty

The term "STNK Only" is commonly used to describe motor vehicle transactions in which the vehicle is sold without a BPKB. Such a transaction cannot automatically be categorized as a criminal offense merely because the ownership documentation is incomplete. Nevertheless, the absence of a BPKB constitutes an important legal risk indicator that requires further examination.

Several circumstances may explain why a vehicle is sold without a BPKB, including loss of the document, administrative problems, outstanding financing obligations, ownership disputes,

or the possibility that the vehicle originated from a criminal offense. Because these circumstances have different legal consequences, verification of the vehicle's background is essential before determining the legal consequences of the transaction.

Legal certainty in "STNK Only" transactions therefore depends on the ability of the parties and relevant authorities to establish the origin of the vehicle, ownership status, seller's authority, and authenticity and validity of the relevant documents. Without such verification, buyers face a significant risk of acquiring vehicles with problematic administrative or legal status.

2.4 Motor Vehicle Sale and Purchase from a Civil Law Perspective

Under civil law, a sale and purchase agreement must fulfill the legal requirements for a valid contract. The principle of consensualism recognizes the agreement of the parties as a fundamental element in establishing contractual relations. Nevertheless, contractual consent must be accompanied by requirements concerning the lawful object and lawful cause of the agreement.

In a "STNK Only" transaction, legal problems may arise if the seller is not the lawful owner or does not possess legitimate authority to transfer the vehicle. In such circumstances, the buyer may experience difficulties when attempting to register a change of ownership or when another party subsequently asserts ownership over the vehicle.

There is therefore an important distinction between the validity of the contractual relationship and certainty regarding ownership of the object of the transaction. An agreement may have been concluded and payment may have been made, but such circumstances do not automatically resolve ownership issues if the seller lacks legal authority to transfer the vehicle.

2.5 Good Faith in Motor Vehicle Transactions

The principle of good faith is one of the fundamental principles of contract law. Parties are not merely required to fulfill contractual obligations formally but must also act honestly, reasonably, and fairly toward one another.

In the context of "STNK Only" transactions, good faith has two dimensions. First, subjective good faith, concerning whether the buyer knew or was aware that the vehicle had a legal problem. Second, objective good faith, concerning whether the buyer had taken reasonable steps to verify the legality and ownership status of the vehicle before completing the transaction.

A buyer who knowingly purchases a vehicle without a BPKB while deliberately ignoring indications of legal problems may have a different legal position from a buyer who has conducted reasonable verification and genuinely had no knowledge of the vehicle's problematic status. Consequently, the good-faith status of a buyer should be determined based on the specific circumstances and available evidence.

2.6 "STNK Only" Transactions from a Criminal Law Perspective

From a criminal law perspective, "STNK Only" transactions must be analyzed based on the specific conduct and elements of the relevant criminal offense, rather than merely on the absence of a BPKB. Incomplete documentation does not, by itself, establish the existence of a criminal offense.

Potential criminal liability may arise where a seller provides false information, uses a false identity, commits fraud, sells a vehicle without legitimate ownership, or transfers a vehicle known to have originated from a criminal offense. In such circumstances, investigators must establish both the objective and subjective elements of the alleged offense.

Siregar, Adrian, and Rambe explain that the development of the Indonesian criminal law system reflects changes and adaptations in criminal law concepts in response to developments in society [1]. This perspective is relevant to motor vehicle transactions because transaction practices and patterns of criminal conduct may develop in accordance with technological and social changes.

2.7 Fraud in Motor Vehicle Transactions

One of the major risks associated with "STNK Only" transactions is the possibility of fraud. Fraud may occur when a seller deliberately uses deceptive conduct or provides false

information concerning the condition, origin, or ownership status of a vehicle in order to obtain financial benefits from the buyer.

In determining whether fraud has occurred, law enforcement authorities must examine the relationship between the seller's conduct and the buyer's decision to transfer money or other economic value. In other words, there must be a causal connection between the misleading representation or conduct and the loss suffered by the victim.

The distinction between fraud and breach of contract is therefore essential. The failure of a seller to deliver a BPKB does not automatically constitute fraud. If there was no fraudulent intent at the beginning of the transaction and the problem arose because the seller subsequently failed to fulfill a contractual obligation, the matter may be more appropriately addressed through civil law. Conversely, if the seller deliberately intended to deceive the buyer from the outset, criminal law may become applicable.

Research Methodology

This research employs a normative juridical research method to analyze the legal challenges arising from "STNK Only" motor vehicle transactions from criminal and civil law perspectives. The normative juridical approach is appropriate because the research focuses on examining legal norms, principles, concepts, and regulations governing motor vehicle ownership, registration, sale and purchase, criminal liability, contractual relationships, and legal protection for good-faith buyers. The research does not primarily examine statistical data but analyzes the relationship between applicable legal norms and the legal problems arising from "STNK Only" transactions.

The research applies three principal approaches: the statutory approach, conceptual approach, and case approach. The statutory approach is used to examine relevant provisions concerning motor vehicle registration and identification, ownership, contractual relationships, criminal offenses, and legal protection. The conceptual approach is used to analyze legal concepts including legal certainty, good faith, criminal liability, civil liability, fraud, and the distinction between civil disputes and criminal offenses. The case approach is used to examine factual patterns and legal issues associated with motor vehicle transactions involving incomplete ownership documents.

The legal materials used in this research consist of primary, secondary, and tertiary legal materials. Primary legal materials include relevant Indonesian legislation and other binding legal instruments concerning motor vehicle registration, criminal law, civil law, and law enforcement. Secondary legal materials include books, scientific journal articles, legal research, academic publications, and scholarly opinions concerning motor vehicle crimes, contractual relationships, ownership, and good-faith buyers. Tertiary legal materials include legal dictionaries, legal encyclopedias, and other reference materials used to clarify legal terminology and concepts.

The collection of legal materials was conducted through library research and systematic document examination. Relevant legal materials were identified, classified, and organized according to the research problems. Particular attention was given to materials concerning the legal status of STNK and BPKB, the authority of vehicle owners to transfer ownership, the legal consequences of incomplete documentation, the criminal elements potentially arising from vehicle transactions, and the legal position of buyers acting in good faith.

The data analysis technique used is qualitative juridical analysis. Legal materials are interpreted systematically by connecting statutory provisions with legal principles and relevant legal doctrines. The analysis begins by identifying the legal status of a "STNK Only" transaction, followed by an examination of the ownership status and origin of the vehicle. The research then analyzes the conduct and good faith of the seller and buyer before determining whether the facts indicate a civil dispute, a criminal offense, or overlapping civil and criminal consequences.

The problem-solving analysis is structured through several stages. First, the research examines the administrative and legal status of the vehicle, including the relationship between STNK, BPKB, vehicle registration, and ownership. Second, it analyzes the civil law relationship between the seller and buyer, particularly contractual validity, fulfillment of obligations, good faith, and potential remedies. Third, it examines the criminal law dimension, including possible fraud, unlawful possession, transfer of criminal proceeds, or other relevant offenses. Fourth, it assesses the legal position and protection of good-faith buyers when a vehicle is subsequently discovered to have problematic ownership or criminal origins.

The research further employs an integrated analytical framework as follows:
"STNK Only" Transaction → Document Verification → Ownership and Vehicle Origin → Good Faith of the Parties → Identification of Criminal Elements → Civil and Criminal Legal Consequences → Legal Protection

This analytical framework is intended to prevent the absence of a BPKB from being treated automatically as evidence of criminal conduct. The absence of complete documentation is instead treated as a legal indicator requiring further verification. Criminal responsibility must be established based on the fulfillment of the relevant criminal elements, including the conduct, intent, knowledge, and circumstances surrounding the transaction.

The research also uses a comparative analytical perspective by comparing the legal consequences of transactions involving incomplete vehicle documents with transactions in which ownership documents are complete and legally verifiable. This comparison is intended to identify the factors that distinguish legitimate contractual transactions from transactions potentially involving unlawful conduct.

The validity of the legal analysis is maintained through systematic interpretation, conceptual consistency, and cross-verification among different legal sources. Statutory provisions are examined together with legal doctrines and relevant scholarly findings to avoid interpreting individual provisions in isolation. The research therefore seeks to produce an objective and comprehensive analysis of "STNK Only" transactions and formulate a balanced legal approach that promotes legal certainty, effective law enforcement, and protection of good-faith buyers.

Results

4.1 Legal Status and Characteristics of "STNK Only" Motor Vehicle Transactions

The results of the normative juridical analysis indicate that "STNK Only" is not, in itself, a legally recognized category of motor vehicle ownership or a separate form of lawful motor vehicle transaction. The term is commonly used in practice to describe transactions in which a motor vehicle is transferred to a buyer while only the STNK is provided and the BPKB is unavailable. Therefore, the legal consequences of such a transaction cannot be determined merely from the label "STNK Only," but must be assessed based on the ownership status of the vehicle, the authority of the seller, the completeness and authenticity of the documents, and the circumstances surrounding the transaction.

The analysis further demonstrates that the existence of an STNK cannot automatically establish that the seller is the lawful owner or that the seller has unrestricted authority to transfer the vehicle. STNK primarily provides information concerning vehicle registration and identification, while BPKB is an important document associated with ownership. Consequently, a transaction involving only an STNK creates a higher level of legal risk because the buyer may experience difficulties in proving and registering ownership in the future.

The absence of a BPKB may result from legitimate circumstances, such as loss of the document or administrative problems. However, it may also indicate more serious legal

problems, including disputed ownership, outstanding financing obligations, unauthorized transfer, or a vehicle originating from a criminal offense. Therefore, the absence of a BPKB should be treated as a legal risk indicator requiring verification rather than automatic evidence of a criminal offense.

4.2 Legal Challenges in Verifying Vehicle Ownership

One of the principal findings of this research is that ownership verification constitutes the most important challenge in "STNK Only" transactions. The buyer may rely on the STNK and the physical existence of the vehicle without conducting a comprehensive examination of its ownership history. Such reliance can create significant legal risks when the seller does not have legitimate authority to transfer the vehicle.

Verification should include the conformity of the vehicle's registration number, chassis number, engine number, vehicle identity, registered owner, and other available administrative records. The analysis indicates that discrepancies between physical vehicle data and administrative records may provide an initial indication of potential legal problems.

This finding is consistent with the study by David, Ahmad, Zarzani, and Rafianti, which emphasizes the strategic role of vehicle registration and identification functions in supporting law enforcement against motor vehicle crimes [3]. Registration and identification should therefore not be understood solely as administrative activities but also as instruments supporting the prevention and detection of unlawful motor vehicle transactions.

4.3 Criminal Law Implications of "STNK Only" Transactions

The research finds that "STNK Only" transactions may have criminal law implications where additional facts demonstrate the existence of criminal elements. The absence of a BPKB alone does not satisfy the requirements for criminal liability. Criminal liability requires an assessment of the conduct of the parties, the existence of unlawful intent or knowledge where required, the relevant criminal provisions, and the relationship between the conduct and the resulting harm.

One potential criminal dimension is fraud. A transaction may become criminally relevant where the seller intentionally provides false information or deceptive representations concerning the vehicle's ownership, origin, or legal status in order to persuade the buyer to transfer money or other property.

The analysis also demonstrates the importance of distinguishing fraud from a mere breach of contract. Failure to provide the BPKB after a transaction does not automatically establish fraudulent intent. If the parties initially entered into a legitimate agreement and the problem subsequently arose because one party failed to fulfill a contractual obligation, the matter may fall within the scope of civil law. However, if evidence demonstrates that the seller deliberately concealed the vehicle's problematic status from the beginning, criminal liability may become relevant.

4.4 Transactions Involving Vehicles Originating from Criminal Offenses

A more significant legal problem arises where a "STNK Only" vehicle is subsequently identified as having originated from theft, embezzlement, or another criminal offense. In such circumstances, the transaction cannot be viewed merely as a contractual relationship between the seller and buyer because it may involve the transfer or possession of property associated with criminal conduct.

The research indicates that the legal position of each participant must nevertheless be assessed individually. The seller who knowingly transfers a vehicle originating from a criminal offense cannot automatically be equated with a buyer who genuinely had no knowledge of its unlawful origin. The buyer's knowledge, circumstances, transaction price, method of purchase, relationship with the seller, and efforts to verify the vehicle's status may become relevant in determining the buyer's legal position.

Accordingly, law enforcement should avoid applying criminal liability solely on the basis of factual possession of a problematic vehicle. The investigation must establish whether the person concerned possessed the necessary knowledge or other circumstances required by the relevant criminal provision.

4.6 Civil Law Consequences for the Parties

From a civil law perspective, the research finds that "STNK Only" transactions may create uncertainty concerning the fulfillment and legal consequences of the sale and purchase agreement. The buyer may have fulfilled the payment obligation but nevertheless be unable to obtain complete documentation or legal recognition of ownership.

Where the seller has failed to fulfill a contractual obligation to provide complete ownership documentation, the buyer may potentially seek civil remedies depending on the terms of the agreement and applicable legal provisions. Such remedies may include demanding performance of the agreement, cancellation of the agreement where legally justified, compensation, or other available contractual remedies.

The important finding is that civil liability and criminal liability should not be automatically conflated. A contractual failure should first be assessed within the framework of contract law unless evidence demonstrates that the conduct also satisfies the elements of a criminal offense.

4.7 Legal Position of Good-Faith Buyers

The research identifies the protection of good-faith buyers as one of the most significant unresolved issues in "STNK Only" transactions. A buyer may purchase a vehicle without knowing that the BPKB is unavailable because of an underlying ownership dispute or criminal origin. In such circumstances, the buyer may suffer financial loss while simultaneously becoming involved in legal proceedings concerning the vehicle.

The legal position of the buyer should therefore be determined based on the buyer's actual conduct and the circumstances surrounding the transaction. A buyer who conducts reasonable verification, receives information consistent with the available documents, pays a commercially reasonable price, and has no reason to suspect the vehicle's problematic status should be distinguished from a buyer who deliberately ignores obvious warning signs.

The results therefore support the development of a good-faith buyer assessment mechanism based on several indicators: the identity of the seller, completeness of documents, purchase price, transaction method, vehicle condition, verification efforts, and the buyer's knowledge concerning the vehicle's legal status.

4.7 Challenges for Law Enforcement

The research identifies several challenges faced by law enforcement in dealing with "STNK Only" transactions. First, investigators must determine whether the matter constitutes a civil dispute or contains sufficient evidence of criminal conduct. Second, investigators must establish the legal origin and ownership history of the vehicle. Third, investigators must assess the knowledge and intention of the parties rather than merely relying on factual possession.

Another challenge is the potential discrepancy between administrative documents and the actual ownership circumstances. A vehicle may possess a valid STNK while simultaneously being subject to ownership disputes or other legal problems. Therefore, reliance on a single document is insufficient for determining the complete legal status of a vehicle.

Effective law enforcement consequently requires coordination among relevant institutions responsible for vehicle registration, identification, investigation, and judicial processes. Such coordination can improve the accuracy of vehicle verification and reduce the risk of incorrectly classifying civil disputes as criminal cases.

4.8 Distinguishing Civil Liability from Criminal Liability

The results demonstrate that one of the most important legal issues is establishing a clear boundary between civil liability and criminal liability. The distinction is necessary to ensure proportional law enforcement and legal certainty.

Legal Aspect	Civil Dimension	Criminal Dimension
Basic issue	Contractual relationship and ownership	Unlawful conduct constituting a criminal offense
Main focus	Rights and obligations of the parties	Conduct, intent/knowledge, and criminal elements
Typical problem	Failure to deliver BPKB or fulfill agreement	Fraud, unlawful transfer, or vehicle originating from crime
Legal consequence	Performance, cancellation, compensation, or other civil remedies	Investigation, prosecution, and criminal sanctions
Buyer assessment	Contractual position and good faith	Knowledge and circumstances relevant to criminal liability

The table demonstrates that the same transaction may potentially generate both civil and criminal consequences, but the legal basis for each must remain distinct. A failure to provide complete documents may constitute a contractual issue, while deliberate deception concerning ownership may simultaneously constitute a criminal matter.

Conclusion

This research concludes that “STNK Only” motor vehicle transactions create significant legal challenges because the existence of an STNK alone does not necessarily establish complete ownership or the seller’s legal authority to transfer the vehicle. The absence of a BPKB creates legal uncertainty regarding the ownership status, origin, and transferability of the vehicle. However, the absence of a BPKB cannot automatically be interpreted as evidence of a criminal offense. A comprehensive examination of the vehicle documents, ownership history, identity of the parties, and circumstances surrounding the transaction is required.

From a criminal law perspective, “STNK Only” transactions may result in criminal liability when the transaction is accompanied by legally established criminal elements, such as intentional deception, fraudulent conduct, unlawful transfer, or the involvement of a vehicle originating from a criminal offense. The research emphasizes the importance of distinguishing criminal conduct from a purely civil dispute. A failure to provide a BPKB or fulfill a contractual obligation does not automatically constitute fraud. Criminal liability must be established based on the conduct, intention or knowledge where required, evidence, and fulfillment of the elements of the relevant criminal offense.

From a civil law perspective, "STNK Only" transactions may create contractual uncertainty and potentially disadvantage buyers when the seller cannot demonstrate legitimate authority over the vehicle or fails to fulfill agreed obligations. The legal position of the parties must therefore be assessed based on the validity of the agreement, contractual obligations, good faith, ownership status, and available civil remedies. A buyer who has acted in good faith and undertaken reasonable verification should receive appropriate legal protection, particularly when the buyer had no knowledge of the vehicle's problematic legal status.

The research further concludes that vehicle registration and identification mechanisms have an important role in preventing and resolving legal problems arising from "STNK Only" transactions. Verification of the STNK, BPKB, chassis number, engine number, registration status, ownership information, and vehicle history should become an important preventive measure before a transaction is completed. This finding reinforces the importance of the registration and identification function in supporting law enforcement against motor vehicle-related crimes [3].

The main implication of this research is the need for an Integrated Criminal-Civil Legal Enforcement Model for "STNK Only" transactions. The model should consist of document verification, ownership and vehicle-origin verification, assessment of the good faith of the parties, identification of criminal elements, and determination of the appropriate civil or criminal legal consequences. Such an approach can prevent the criminalization of legitimate civil disputes while ensuring that fraudulent transactions and transactions involving vehicles originating from criminal activities are effectively addressed.

The findings also imply the need to strengthen public access to reliable vehicle verification mechanisms and improve coordination among relevant institutions responsible for vehicle registration, identification, investigation, and law enforcement. Buyers should be encouraged to verify the legal status of a vehicle before completing a transaction, particularly when the seller is unable to provide complete ownership documents.

Ultimately, the development of legal policy concerning "STNK Only" transactions should focus on achieving a balance between legal certainty, effective criminal law enforcement, contractual fairness, and protection of good-faith buyers. Future research should further examine empirical practices at police stations and vehicle registration offices to determine how "STNK Only" transactions are actually investigated and how good-faith buyers are treated when vehicles are subsequently found to have problematic ownership or criminal origins.

References

[1] M. A. Siregar, R. F. Adrian, and M. J. Rambe, *Menelusuri Perjalanan Lahirnya Konsep Sistem Hukum Pidana dan Hukum Pidana di Indonesia*. Tahta Media, 2023.

[2] M. A. Siregar, *Buku Ajar Perbandingan Hukum Pidana*. Serasi Media Teknologi, 2025.

[3] David, A. Ahmad, T. R. Zarzani, and F. Rafianti, "Peran Unit Registrasi dan Identifikasi Kendaraan Bermotor dalam Penegakan Hukum Pidana terhadap Pencurian Kendaraan Bermotor (Studi pada Direktorat Lalu Lintas Polda Sumut)," *Judge: Jurnal Hukum*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 169–181, 2026.

[4] Kitab Undang-Undang Hukum Perdata (Burgerlijk Wetboek).

[5] Republik Indonesia, Undang-Undang Nomor 22 Tahun 2009 tentang Lalu Lintas dan Angkutan Jalan.

[6] Republik Indonesia, Peraturan Kepolisian Negara Republik Indonesia Nomor 7 Tahun 2021 tentang Registrasi dan Identifikasi Kendaraan Bermotor.

[7] Republik Indonesia, Undang-Undang Nomor 1 Tahun 2023 tentang Kitab Undang-Undang Hukum Pidana.

[8] Republik Indonesia, Undang-Undang Nomor 1 Tahun 2026 tentang Penyesuaian Pidana.

[9] P. M. Marzuki, *Penelitian Hukum*. Jakarta, Indonesia: Kencana.

[10] S. Soekanto and S. Mamudji, *Penelitian Hukum Normatif: Suatu Tinjauan Singkat*. Jakarta, Indonesia: Rajawali Pers.

[11] H. Aspan, "Good Corporate Governance Principles in the Management of Limited Liability Company," *International Journal of Law Reconstruction*, vol. 1, no. 1, p. 87, 2017.

[12] H. Aspan, "Diskresi Aparat Penegak Hukum dalam Sistem Peradilan Pidana," *Jurnal Hukum*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 115–128, 2021.

[13] T. R. Zarzani, F. Rafianti, and M. A. Siregar, relevant studies on law enforcement and criminal law in Indonesia.

[14] J. W. Karya and T. R. Zarzani, "Had a Role of Polri in Implementing Crimes Violent (Study in Subdit III Jahtanras Directorate of General Criminal Reservations Polda North Sumatera)," *LEGAL BRIEF*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 2167–2175, 2022.

[15] M. A. Karna, Y. M. Saragih, Ismaidar, and T. R. Zarzani, "Implementasi Peran Polri dalam Penindakan Penyidik yang Melakukan Kesalahan Prosedur Penyidikan (Studi di Polda Sumut)," *JIIP—Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Pendidikan*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 908–920, 2024.