

Bridging Internal Knowledge and Social Networks: A Pathway to Entrepreneurial Success

Muhammad Dharma Tuah Putra Nasution, Ramadhan Harahap, Irawan, Aulia Ramadhan Tanjung

Abstract

The aim of this study is to examine the critical role of knowledge resources in fostering entrepreneurial success, specifically focusing on the impact of prior knowledge and social network characteristics on knowledge resource availability. Drawing on the Knowledge-Based View (KBV), the study posits that entrepreneurs with extensive prior knowledge are better positioned to identify, acquire, and leverage essential resources, thus enhancing their business performance. Furthermore, it explores how social network characteristics—such as network centrality, density, and diversity—facilitate access to valuable information, expertise, and support, thereby influencing knowledge resource availability. The study addresses key gaps in the literature, particularly in understanding the mechanisms through which prior knowledge and social networks contribute to resource acquisition and decision-making in entrepreneurial ventures. Using a quantitative cross-sectional design, the research collects data from 300 entrepreneurs and small business owners across diverse industries via convenience sampling. The study operationalizes three constructs: prior knowledge, social network characteristics, and knowledge resource availability, utilizing established scales to assess industry-specific knowledge, network dynamics, and access to resources. Data analysis will employ Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) to test the hypothesized relationships and evaluate the measurement model's reliability and validity. The findings are expected to make a significant theoretical contribution to KBV by highlighting the integrative role of individual and social resources in enhancing knowledge availability within entrepreneurial ecosystems. Practical implications for entrepreneurs include the importance of continuous knowledge development and cultivating diverse, trust-based networks, while policymakers and business development organizations can design interventions to improve entrepreneurs' access to resources. Future research directions include examining the long-term effects of knowledge resource availability on entrepreneurial success and exploring how digital platforms reshape the dynamics of social networks in resource-constrained environments. This study aims to advance both theoretical and practical understandings of entrepreneurial resource management, offering valuable insights for the field.

Keywords: Knowledge Resources, Entrepreneurial Success, Social Network Characteristics, Prior Knowledge, Knowledge-Based View (KBV)

Muhammad Dharma Tuah Putra Nasution
Department of Management, Universitas Pembangunan Panca Budi, Indonesia
e-mail: dharmatuah@gmail.com

Ramadhan Harahap, Irawan, Aulia Ramadhan Tanjung
Department of Management, Accounting Study Program, Universitas Pembangunan Panca Budi, Indonesia
e-mail: ramadhan.rahman41@dosen.pancabudi.ac.id, irawan@dosen.pancabudi.ac.id,
auliatanjung24@gmail.com

1st International Conference on the Epicentrum of Economic Global Framework (ICEGLOOF)
Theme: Digital Marketing Strategy to Optimize Business Growth in the Modern Era
<https://proceeding.pancabudi.ac.id/index.php/ICEGLOOF>

Introduction

In today's rapidly evolving business landscape, the availability of knowledge resources is a critical determinant of entrepreneurial success. Entrepreneurs often find themselves navigating a complex web of information, skills, and social networks that can significantly influence their ventures. A pertinent question arises: how do entrepreneurs effectively leverage their knowledge resources to enhance their performance and innovation capabilities?

Research indicates that social capital plays a pivotal role in an entrepreneur's ability to access external resources, which is essential for financial success and venture performance. Omrane (2015) highlights that specific social skills enable entrepreneurs to build networks that facilitate resource acquisition, thereby enhancing their operational capabilities and market reach. This is further supported by Smith et al. (2017) who emphasize the importance of digital networks in expanding an entrepreneur's social capital, allowing for greater access to knowledge and resources that can drive innovation.

Moreover, the integration of knowledge management practices within entrepreneurial processes is crucial. Ashouri and Boroumand (2014) argue that systematic support for knowledge management leads to improved performance and sustainable competitive advantage in entrepreneurial ventures. This sentiment is echoed by Li et al. (2020) who demonstrate that effective knowledge sharing and creation significantly correlate with enhanced organizational performance. The ability to absorb and utilize knowledge effectively is also underscored by Makhoulfi et al. (2021) who link absorptive capacity with innovation capability, suggesting that entrepreneurs who cultivate these skills are better positioned to exploit market opportunities.

The topic of entrepreneurs' knowledge resource availability is critical in understanding how entrepreneurs leverage various forms of knowledge to enhance their ventures' success. Knowledge resources encompass a broad spectrum, including human capital, social networks, and access to information, which collectively influence entrepreneurial outcomes. The Resource-Based View (RBV) serves as a foundational theory in this domain, positing that the unique resources and capabilities of a firm are crucial for achieving competitive advantage and superior performance (Kiyabo, 2019; Alvarez & Busenitz, 2001).

One key concept in this area is the role of openness to external knowledge and resources, as highlighted by Marullo et al. (2018). Their study emphasizes that startups that actively engage in open innovation and are receptive to external inputs tend to perform better. This aligns with the RBV, which underscores the importance of leveraging external knowledge to complement internal capabilities (Kiyabo, 2019). Furthermore, the relationship between entrepreneurial traits, skills, and motivation is pivotal, as Baum and Locke (2004) demonstrate that entrepreneurs with enhanced resource skills are more likely to set ambitious growth goals and achieve them, thereby reinforcing the significance of knowledge in driving venture growth.

Entrepreneurial education also plays a vital role in shaping knowledge resources. Duong (2021) illustrates that entrepreneurship education can enhance individuals' knowledge and experiences, fostering entrepreneurial intentions and self-efficacy. This educational aspect is crucial as it equips potential entrepreneurs with the necessary skills to identify and exploit business opportunities effectively. Similarly, the findings of Clercq and Arenius (2006) suggest that the knowledge stock within a region can significantly influence the types of entrepreneurial activities undertaken, thereby affecting overall competitiveness.

Moreover, the concept of bricolage, as discussed by An et al. (2017), highlights how entrepreneurs utilize available resources creatively to identify and exploit opportunities. This aligns with the notion that resource constraints can spur innovative problem-solving approaches, further emphasizing the importance of knowledge in resource management. Additionally, the work of Uzunidis et al. (2014) introduces the idea of "resource potential," which encompasses the knowledge, relationships, and financial resources that entrepreneurs

Bridging Internal Knowledge and Social Networks

can mobilize, indicating that the entrepreneurial environment significantly impacts resource availability. In summary, the RBV provides a theoretical lens through which these dynamics can be analyzed, highlighting the critical role of knowledge in fostering entrepreneurial success.

The exploration of entrepreneurs' knowledge resource availability reveals several gaps in existing studies. One significant gap is the insufficient understanding of how prior knowledge influences resource acquisition and decision-making processes among entrepreneurs. For instance, Lin et al. (2023) highlight that entrepreneurs with prior knowledge of relevant industries are better positioned to make informed decisions regarding franchising, while those lacking such knowledge often rely on social relationships, which may not always be beneficial. This suggests a need for deeper investigation into the mechanisms through which prior knowledge impacts resource availability and decision-making, particularly in diverse entrepreneurial contexts.

Moreover, the distinction between social and commercial entrepreneurs presents another layer of complexity. Liu et al. (2020) emphasize that social entrepreneurs face more severe resource scarcity compared to their commercial counterparts, which can hinder their ability to leverage interpersonal networks effectively. This raises questions about the generalizability of findings across different types of entrepreneurship and the specific challenges faced by social entrepreneurs in accessing knowledge resources. Musona et al. (2020) further elaborate on this by indicating that social-environmental entrepreneurs tend to have specific resource holders they approach, which complicates their resource access strategies. This specificity may contribute to a lack of comprehensive frameworks that address the unique resource challenges faced by different entrepreneurial types.

Another critical limitation in the literature is the under-exploration of the role of social networks in knowledge resource development. Yin and Jahanshahi (2018) argue that while trust is acknowledged as vital in social networks, there is limited research on how trust influences the relationship between network size and knowledge-based resources. This gap suggests that future studies should focus on the qualitative aspects of social networks, including trust dynamics, to better understand how these networks can be optimized for resource acquisition.

Additionally, the existing research often overlooks the contextual factors that influence knowledge resource availability. For example, Albright et al. (2014) discuss how the accessibility of information resources varies significantly across different contexts, yet this variability is not sufficiently accounted for in many studies. This indicates a need for more nuanced analyses that consider the socio-economic and cultural contexts in which entrepreneurs operate.

Lastly, the literature reveals a lack of consensus on the definitions and measurements of knowledge resources. For instance, while some studies focus on tangible resources, others emphasize intangible assets such as social capital and individual competencies (Salisu et al., 2021). This inconsistency complicates the ability to draw general conclusions about the impact of knowledge resources on entrepreneurial success. Furthermore, the interplay between individual and social resources, as highlighted by Nyakudya (2024), suggests that gender and social context significantly moderate the effects of these resources on entrepreneurial aspirations. This indicates that a more integrated approach is necessary to fully understand the dynamics of knowledge resource availability. To address these gaps in the literature and advance the understanding of knowledge resource availability, this study formulates the following research questions.

RQ1: How does prior knowledge affect knowledge resource availability?

RQ2: How do social network characteristics influence knowledge resource availability?

RQ3: How does the relationship between individual and social resources explain the dynamics of knowledge resource availability in entrepreneurship?

Literature Review

Knowledge-Based View

The Knowledge-Based View (KBV) of the firm posits that knowledge is the most strategically significant resource for organizations, serving as a foundation for sustained competitive advantage. This perspective builds upon the Resource-Based View (RBV) but emphasizes the unique characteristics of knowledge as a resource, particularly its tacit and explicit forms. Tacit knowledge, which is personal and context-specific, plays a crucial role in innovation and competitive positioning, as it is often difficult to imitate or transfer (Quartey, 2019; Bogner & Bansal, 2007). The integration of various knowledge resources, including both tacit and explicit knowledge, is essential for firms to create value and maintain a competitive edge in dynamic markets (Grawe et al., 2011; Rezaee & Jafari, 2016).

Moreover, the KBV highlights the importance of knowledge management processes in facilitating the creation, sharing, and application of knowledge within organizations. Knowledge management systems enable firms to leverage their intellectual capital, thereby enhancing their operational flexibility and performance (Adams & Lamont, 2003). For instance, organizations that successfully synthesize new knowledge can adapt more readily to changes in their environment, which is critical for sustaining competitive advantage (Bogner & Bansal, 2007; Rahayu, 2023). This dynamic capability to manage knowledge effectively is increasingly recognized as a vital component of strategic management (Weissenberger-Eibl & Schwenk, 2009).

The KBV also underscores the relational aspects of knowledge, suggesting that social ties and networks significantly influence knowledge acquisition and transfer processes (Wu & Chen, 2011). Firms that cultivate strong relationships with external partners can enhance their knowledge base, leading to improved innovation and competitive performance. This relational view complements the KBV by illustrating how knowledge flows across organizational boundaries, further emphasizing the interconnected nature of knowledge in the modern economy (Wu & Chen, 2011).

Knowledge Resource Availability

Entrepreneurs' knowledge can be categorized into various types, including tacit, explicit, and experiential knowledge. Tacit knowledge, which is often gained through personal experiences and interactions, plays a crucial role in shaping an entrepreneur's ability to identify and exploit opportunities. For instance, prior knowledge and experiences significantly influence the evaluation of opportunities, as entrepreneurs draw upon their unique backgrounds to assess potential ventures (Haynie et al., 2009). This is particularly evident in technology-driven contexts, where the integration of prior tacit knowledge with technical insights can enhance the innovativeness of products and services (Marvel & Droege, 2010).

Moreover, the role of social networks in knowledge acquisition cannot be overstated. Entrepreneurs often rely on their networks to access critical resources and knowledge. The quality and structure of these networks—whether they are dense with strong ties or sparse with weak ties—can significantly impact the effectiveness of knowledge transfer and resource acquisition (Soetanto et al., 2018; Semrau & Werner, 2014). For example, weak ties can facilitate access to diverse information and resources that might not be available within an entrepreneur's immediate circle, thereby enhancing their capacity for innovation (Soetanto et al., 2018). Conversely, strong ties may provide deeper trust and support, which can be vital during the early stages of venture development (Jack, 2005).

The process of entrepreneurial learning is another essential aspect of Entrepreneurs' Knowledge. This learning is often experiential, where entrepreneurs learn from both successes

Bridging Internal Knowledge and Social Networks

and failures. The ability to reflect on past experiences and adapt strategies accordingly is crucial for navigating the entrepreneurial landscape (Politis, 2005; Minniti & Bygrave, 2001). For instance, failure experiences can yield valuable insights that inform future opportunities, thereby contributing to a cycle of continuous learning and adaptation (Mueller & Shepherd, 2016).

Furthermore, the concept of knowledge spillovers highlights how entrepreneurs can benefit from the knowledge generated within their ecosystems. The characteristics of entrepreneurs, such as their prior experiences and openness to learning, influence how effectively they can absorb and utilize knowledge from external sources (Cuvero et al., 2022). This dynamic interplay between individual knowledge and external knowledge sources underscores the importance of fostering collaborative environments that support knowledge sharing and innovation.

Prior Knowledge

Entrepreneurs' prior knowledge encompasses the information, experiences, and skills that individuals accumulate before engaging in entrepreneurial activities. This knowledge significantly influences their ability to identify and exploit opportunities, make informed decisions, and navigate the complexities of starting and managing a business. Research indicates that prior knowledge is a critical determinant of entrepreneurial success, as it shapes how entrepreneurs perceive and respond to opportunities in their environment (Haynie et al., 2012; Shane, 2000).

A substantial body of literature highlights the role of prior entrepreneurial experience in enhancing opportunity recognition and decision-making processes. For instance, Shane (2000) emphasizes that entrepreneurs often discover opportunities based on the information they already possess, suggesting that their prior knowledge directly impacts their entrepreneurial endeavors. Similarly, Miao and Liu (2010) propose a psychological model where prior knowledge acts as a precursor to opportunity recognition, influencing decision-making criteria. This relationship is further supported by Politis (2008), who argues that prior experiences contribute to a continuous learning process, allowing entrepreneurs to refine their skills over time.

Moreover, the internalization of past experiences, particularly failures, plays a pivotal role in shaping an entrepreneur's future ventures. Bandera et al. (2018) found that references to past experiences significantly affect entrepreneurs' current business decisions, indicating that negative emotions tied to previous failures can motivate individuals to pursue new opportunities. This notion aligns with the findings of Malebana and Mothibi (2022), who assert that prior exposure to entrepreneurship positively correlates with entrepreneurial intentions among learners, suggesting that early experiences can foster a proactive entrepreneurial mindset.

The impact of prior knowledge extends beyond individual entrepreneurs to influence team dynamics and decision-making within entrepreneurial ventures. Research by Ye et al. (2021) illustrates how the expertise heterogeneity within entrepreneurial teams affects decision-making processes, indicating that diverse prior knowledge among team members can enhance collective decision-making capabilities. Furthermore, the study by Miao and Yu (2009) reinforces the idea that prior knowledge and alertness are crucial for recognizing entrepreneurial opportunities, thereby influencing overall decision-making. In summary, entrepreneurs' prior knowledge is a multifaceted construct that encompasses various forms of information and experiences.

Social Network Characteristics

Social networks provide entrepreneurs with access to critical resources, information, and opportunities that are essential for navigating the complexities of starting and growing a business. One of the key characteristics of entrepreneurs' social networks is the diversity and strength of ties within these networks. Research indicates that entrepreneurs who occupy central positions in their social networks tend to have better access to information and resources, which can facilitate enterprise growth (Xi-hong et al., 2010). This centrality allows them to leverage weak ties, which are often crucial for accessing novel information and opportunities that are not available within their immediate circle (Redd & Wu, 2020). Furthermore, the presence of family ties within entrepreneurs' networks has been shown to vary significantly, with emotional support networks often comprising a higher percentage of family connections compared to business resource networks (Arrègle et al., 2015). This suggests that emotional support plays a critical role in the entrepreneurial journey, particularly during challenging times.

The optimization of social networks is another important characteristic that influences entrepreneurial success. Entrepreneurs are increasingly recognizing the importance of managing their social networks effectively to enhance collaboration and resource sharing (Ordoñez-Valencia, 2023). This is particularly relevant in the context of social entrepreneurship, where the support from social networks can motivate entrepreneurs to pursue their social missions more vigorously (Omoredede, 2014). The ability to navigate and utilize these networks effectively can lead to improved business outcomes, as entrepreneurs who are adept at networking often experience higher financial performance (Sigmund et al., 2013).

Moreover, the stage of the entrepreneurial process also affects the nature of social networks. Different stages of business formation require distinct types of social interactions and resources. For instance, nascent entrepreneurs may rely more heavily on personal networks for initial support and advice, while established entrepreneurs might focus on broader networks for strategic partnerships and market expansion (Klyver & Hindle, 2007). This dynamic nature of social networks highlights the necessity for entrepreneurs to adapt their networking strategies as their ventures evolve.

Hypotheses Development

Prior knowledge has a crucial role in enhancing knowledge resource availability among entrepreneurs, significantly influencing their ability to leverage resources for business success. This assertion is supported by various studies that emphasize the importance of prior knowledge in entrepreneurship, particularly in the context of resource mobilization and opportunity recognition.

Firstly, the concept of openness to external resources is vital for startup success. Marullo et al. (2018) highlight that teams with a high degree of openness can effectively identify and mobilize relevant knowledge and resources, which is essential for new ventures to thrive. This openness is closely linked to prior knowledge, as entrepreneurs who possess a rich background of experiences and insights are better equipped to navigate the complexities of resource acquisition and utilization. Similarly, Kiyabo (2019) discusses how knowledge management capabilities, rooted in prior experiences, contribute to a firm's strategic advantage and overall performance. This aligns with the Resource-Based View (RBV), which posits that unique knowledge resources can lead to competitive advantages.

An et al. (2017) further elaborate on this by framing bricolage—an innovative resource reconfiguration—as a learning process that generates new knowledge, which is critical for identifying opportunities. This experiential learning is often rooted in the entrepreneurs' prior knowledge, enabling them to make informed decisions about resource allocation. Additionally, Vlasov et al. (2018) discuss how embeddedness in networks provides entrepreneurs with access to diverse knowledge resources, which can be particularly beneficial for those with a solid

Bridging Internal Knowledge and Social Networks

foundation of prior knowledge. This embeddedness facilitates the sharing and acquisition of knowledge that can enhance entrepreneurial resilience and adaptability. Zhang et al. (2011) demonstrate that entrepreneurs with relevant prior knowledge can better appraise the resources available to them, thereby increasing their capacity to transform these resources into value-creating opportunities (Zhang et al., 2011). Moreover, the interaction between prior knowledge and resource acquisition is further supported by findings from Bloodgood and Chilton (2012), who argue that a better alignment of cognitive styles with available knowledge resources can enhance organizational performance. This suggests that entrepreneurs who possess prior knowledge are more adept at leveraging both explicit and tacit knowledge resources, which is essential for navigating complex business environments. The ability to match prior knowledge with appropriate resources not only facilitates resource acquisition but also fosters innovation and adaptability in entrepreneurial ventures.

H1: Prior knowledge has a positive effect on knowledge resource availability.

Entrepreneurs leverage their social networks to access critical information, resources, and support, which are essential for the growth and sustainability of their ventures. Research indicates that entrepreneurs who occupy central positions within their social networks can access a broader range of information and resources, thereby enhancing their knowledge base and resource availability (Xi-hong et al., 2010). This centrality allows them to tap into diverse networks, which is crucial for identifying new opportunities and gaining insights that can lead to business growth (Yin & Jahanshahi, 2018).

Moreover, the dynamics of social networks are essential for entrepreneurs, particularly in the early stages of business development. Entrepreneurs often rely on their personal networks to gather information and transform business ideas into reality (Ducci & Teixeira, 2010). The ability to mobilize social capital—defined as the networks of relationships among people who work in a particular field—enables entrepreneurs to access various forms of capital, including human, financial, and intellectual resources (Upton et al., 2019). This interconnectedness is particularly beneficial for nascent entrepreneurs, as it enhances their likelihood of success by providing them with the necessary support and resources.

In the context of social entrepreneurship, the challenges of resource scarcity make robust social networks even more critical. Social entrepreneurs often face significant hurdles in accessing traditional funding sources, making their personal and professional networks indispensable for securing resources and support (Akoh & Lekhanya, 2022). The collaboration with corporate organizations and other entities through networking can provide social entrepreneurs with the means to improve their market position and scale their operations (Akoh & Lekhanya, 2022). This highlights the importance of not only having a large network but also cultivating strong, trust-based relationships within that network to maximize resource availability (Yin & Jahanshahi, 2018).

Furthermore, the digital age has transformed how entrepreneurs build and utilize their social networks. Online platforms enable entrepreneurs to expand their reach and connect with a broader audience, facilitating access to diverse knowledge resources (Zhao et al., 2021). This shift underscores the importance of adapting networking strategies to leverage digital tools effectively, enhancing entrepreneurs' capabilities to gather and utilize knowledge for business development.

H2: Social network characteristics have a positive effect on knowledge resource availability.

Research Methodology

This study aims to explore the dynamics of knowledge resource availability through three research questions, employing a quantitative cross-sectional design to gather data at a single point in time. This design is suitable for examining the relationships between variables, particularly the influence of prior knowledge and social network characteristics on knowledge resource availability. The target population comprises entrepreneurs and small business owners from diverse sectors, with a sample size of 300 respondents selected using a convenience sampling method to ensure representation across various industries and backgrounds. This sample size is sufficient to achieve statistical power for the analysis.

The study focuses on three constructs: prior knowledge, social network characteristics, and knowledge resource availability. Prior knowledge is assessed using a 5-item scale evaluating the depth and breadth of industry-specific knowledge (e.g., "I have extensive experience in my industry"). Social network characteristics are measured through a 6-item scale that examines aspects such as centrality, density, and diversity of networks (e.g., "I have access to diverse expertise within my network"). Knowledge resource availability is evaluated using a 7-item scale focusing on access to information, skills, and support from networks (e.g., "I can easily access information from my network when needed"). All items are rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree).

Data will be collected through in-person distribution of surveys to entrepreneurs and small business owners at relevant locations, such as business events, and local entrepreneurial communities. Trained researchers will distribute and collect the surveys, ensuring clarity in responses by providing assistance when needed. The survey includes an introductory section explaining the study's purpose, ensuring informed consent, and guaranteeing respondent anonymity.

The collected data will be analyzed using Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM), a method suitable for handling complex models with multiple constructs and relationships. The analysis involves several steps: specifying the structural model based on hypothesized relationships, assessing the measurement model's reliability and validity using composite reliability and average variance extracted (AVE), and testing structural relationships using bootstrapping to determine significance levels. Finally, the path coefficients will be interpreted to understand the strength and direction of relationships among the constructs.

Conclusion

This study aims to investigate the role of prior knowledge and social network characteristics in enhancing knowledge resource availability among entrepreneurs. It is expected that entrepreneurs with extensive prior knowledge will demonstrate superior capabilities in identifying, acquiring, and utilizing critical resources for business success, aligning with the Knowledge-Based View (KBV), which positions knowledge as a strategic asset for gaining a competitive advantage. Furthermore, it is anticipated that social network characteristics—such as network centrality, density, and diversity—will positively influence knowledge resource availability by facilitating access to valuable information, expertise, and support. A synergistic effect is also hypothesized, where entrepreneurs with rich prior knowledge and robust social networks will exhibit the highest levels of resource availability.

Should the findings confirm these hypotheses, the study would make a significant theoretical contribution to KBV. Specifically, it would highlight how the integration of internal cognitive resources and external relational networks optimizes resource availability within entrepreneurial ecosystems. This approach extends KBV by recognizing that knowledge is not only an individual asset but also a relational resource that is shaped and enhanced through social interactions and networks.

Bridging Internal Knowledge and Social Networks

In terms of practical implications, the study would suggest that entrepreneurs should prioritize continuous knowledge development and actively foster diverse, trust-based networks to effectively overcome resource limitations. Policymakers and business development organizations could leverage these insights to design interventions, such as educational programs and networking events, aimed at enhancing entrepreneurs' access to critical resources. Additionally, the research could explore how digital platforms reshape the dynamics of social networks and resource availability, particularly in resource-constrained settings, and how cross-cultural differences might influence knowledge and network dynamics.

Future research could build on this study by examining the long-term effects of knowledge resource availability on entrepreneurial success and scalability, as well as exploring the role of digital technologies in transforming social network structures and resource access across different cultural or industry contexts. By linking prior knowledge and social network characteristics to knowledge resource availability, this study seeks to advance the Knowledge-Based View and offer both theoretical and practical insights into entrepreneurial resource management.

References

- Adams, G., & Lamont, B. (2003). Knowledge management systems and developing sustainable competitive advantage. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 7(2), 142–154. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13673270310477342>
- Akoh, E., & Lekhanya, L. (2022). Social entrepreneurship and networking challenges: Impact on sustainable development in South Africa. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 20(4), 195–209. [https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.20\(4\).2022.15](https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.20(4).2022.15)
- Albright, K., Jin, T., & Zhang, Y. (2014). How can information providers connect information resources to entrepreneurs to spur innovation in economic development? Co-sponsored by SIG-III and SIG-USE. *Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 51(1), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1002/meet.2014.14505101012>
- Alvarez, S., & Busenitz, L. (2001). The entrepreneurship of resource-based theory. *Journal of Management*, 27(6), 755–775. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920630102700609>
- An, W., Zhao, X., Cao, Z., Zhang, J., & Liu, H. (2017). How bricolage drives corporate entrepreneurship: The roles of opportunity identification and learning orientation. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 35(1), 49–65. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpim.12377>
- Ario, F., & Harahap, R. (2023, July). Analysis of the Behavior of Informal Workers and Digital Platforms Towards Online Service Satisfaction: Case Study Online Services in Desa Kelambir V. In *Proceeding International Conference of Media and Communication in Southeast Asia* (Vol. 3, pp. 83-93).
- Arrègle, J., Batjargal, B., Hitt, M., Webb, J., Miller, T., & Tsui, A. (2015). Family ties in entrepreneurs' social networks and new venture growth. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 39(2), 313–344. <https://doi.org/10.1111/etap.12044>
- Ashouri, T., & Boroumand, M. (2014). The relationship between knowledge management and the process of entrepreneurship in sport organizations. *Annals of Applied Sport Science*, 2(3), 41–50. <https://doi.org/10.18869/acadpub.aassjournal.2.3.41>
- Bandera, C., Keshtkar, F., & Passerini, K. (2018). Internalization among technology entrepreneurs: Looking to the future while grounded in the past. *Proceedings of the 51st Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS)*. <https://doi.org/10.24251/hicss.2018.520>
- Baum, J., & Locke, E. (2004). The relationship of entrepreneurial traits, skill, and motivation to subsequent venture growth. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(4), 587–598. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.89.4.587>
- Bloodgood, J., & Chilton, M. (2012). Performance implications of matching adaptation and innovation cognitive style with explicit and tacit knowledge resources. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, 10(2), 106–117. <https://doi.org/10.1057/kmrp.2012.3>

- Bogner, W., & Bansal, P. (2007). Knowledge management as the basis of sustained high performance. *Journal of Management Studies*, 44(1), 165–188. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2007.00667.x>
- Clercq, D., & Arenius, P. (2006). The role of knowledge in business start-up activity. *International Small Business Journal*, 24(4), 339–358. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0266242606065507>
- Cuvero, M., Granados, M., & Pilkington, A. (2022). The effects of knowledge spillovers and accelerator programs on the product innovation of high-tech start-ups: A multiple case study. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 69(4), 1682–1695. <https://doi.org/10.1109/tem.2019.2923250>
- Diana, Y., Rahayu, S., & Zannah, A. (2023, March). Optimized Digital Marketing Through People, Process and Physical Evidence on the Decision to Choose SMEs Products in the Food and Beverage Business in Klambir Lima Village. In *Proceeding Medan International Conference on Economic and Business* (Vol. 1, pp. 2521-2533).
- Ducci, N., & Teixeira, R. (2010). Articulação de redes sociais por empreendedores na formação do capital social: Um estudo de caso de uma empresa do setor de turismo do interior do Paraná. *Revista Turismo Em Análise*, 21(1), 165–189. <https://doi.org/10.11606/issn.1984-4867.v21i1p165-189>
- Duong, C. (2021). Exploring the link between entrepreneurship education and entrepreneurial intentions: The moderating role of educational fields. *Education + Training*, 64(7), 869–891. <https://doi.org/10.1108/et-05-2021-0173>
- Grawe, S., Daugherty, P., & Roath, A. (2011). Knowledge synthesis and innovative logistics processes: Enhancing operational flexibility and performance. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 32(1), 69–80. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2158-1592.2011.01006.x>
- Haynie, J., Shepherd, D., & McMullen, J. (2009). An opportunity for me? The role of resources in opportunity evaluation decisions. *Journal of Management Studies*, 46(3), 337–361. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2009.00824.x>
- Haynie, J., Shepherd, D., & Patzelt, H. (2012). Cognitive adaptability and an entrepreneurial task: The role of metacognitive ability and feedback. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 36(2), 237–265. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2010.00410.x>
- Jack, S. (2005). The role, use and activation of strong and weak network ties: A qualitative analysis. *Journal of Management Studies*, 42(6), 1233–1259. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2005.00540.x>
- Kiyabo, *. (2019). Conceptualizing strategic entrepreneurship and SMEs performance using the resource-based theory. *UJMDD*, 29(2). <https://doi.org/10.69522/uongozi.v29i2.12>
- Klyver, K., & Hindle, K. (2007). The role of social networks at different stages of business formation. *Small Enterprise Research*, 15(1), 22–38. <https://doi.org/10.5172/ser.15.1.22>
- Li, C., Ashraf, S., Shahzad, F., Bashir, I., Murad, M., Syed, N., ... & Riaz, M. (2020). Influence of knowledge management practices on entrepreneurial and organizational performance: A mediated-moderation model. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.577106>
- Lin, S., Chung, H., & Huang, P. (2023). The construction of a franchise entrepreneurship decision model: The example of convenience store chains in Taiwan. *Managerial and Decision Economics*, 44(8), 4686–4706. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mde.3978>
- Liu, Z., Xiao, Y., Jiang, S., & Hu, S. (2020). Social entrepreneurs' personal network, resource bricolage and relation strength. *Management Decision*, 59(11), 2774–2791. <https://doi.org/10.1108/md-05-2019-0674>
- Makhloufi, L., Laghouag, A., Sahli, A., & Bélaïd, F. (2021). Impact of entrepreneurial orientation on innovation capability: The mediating role of absorptive capability and organizational learning capabilities. *Sustainability*, 13(10), 5399. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13105399>

Bridging Internal Knowledge and Social Networks

- Malebana, M., & Mothibi, N. (2022). Relationship between prior entrepreneurship exposure and entrepreneurial intention among secondary school learners in Gauteng, South Africa. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2017396/v1>
- Marullo, C., Casprini, E., Minin, A., & Piccaluga, A. (2018). 'Ready for take-off': How open innovation influences startup success. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 27(4), 476–488. <https://doi.org/10.1111/caim.12272>
- Marvel, M., & Droege, S. (2010). Prior tacit knowledge and first-year sales: Learning from technology entrepreneurs. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 17(1), 32–44. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14626001011019116>
- Miao, Q., & Liu, L. (2010). A psychological model of entrepreneurial decision making. *Social Behavior and Personality: An International Journal*, 38(3), 357–363. <https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.2010.38.3.357>
- Miao, Q., & Yu, C. (2009). The micro mechanism of entrepreneurial decision making: Causal model validation. *Proceedings of the 2009 International Conference on Management and Service Science (ICMSS)*, 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1109/icmss.2009.5303646>
- Minniti, M., & Bygrave, W. (2001). A dynamic model of entrepreneurial learning. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 25(3), 5–16. <https://doi.org/10.1177/104225870102500301>
- Mueller, B., & Shepherd, D. (2016). Making the most of failure experiences: Exploring the relationship between business failure and the identification of business opportunities. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 40(3), 457–487. <https://doi.org/10.1111/etap.12116>
- Musona, J., Sjögrén, H., Puumalainen, K., & Syrjä, P. (2020). Bricolage in environmental entrepreneurship: How environmental innovators “make do” at the bottom of the pyramid. *Business Strategy & Development*, 3(4), 487–505. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bsd2.112>
- Nyakudya, F. (2024). The moderating role of individual and social resources in gender effect on entrepreneurial growth aspirations. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research*, 30(6), 1576-1599. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijeb-05-2023-0519>
- Omoredede, A. (2014). Exploration of motivational drivers towards social entrepreneurship. *Social Enterprise Journal*, 10(3), 239-267. <https://doi.org/10.1108/sej-03-2013-0014>
- Omrane, A. (2015). Entrepreneurs' social capital and access to external resources: The effects of social skills. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business*, 24(3), 357. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijesb.2015.067463>
- Ordoñez-Valencia, M. (2023). Optimization of the use and management of social networks in the process of business start-ups. *Sapienza International Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, 4(3), e23045. <https://doi.org/10.51798/sijis.v4i3.702>
- Politis, D. (2005). The process of entrepreneurial learning: A conceptual framework. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 29(4), 399-424. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2005.00091.x>
- Politis, D. (2008). Does prior start-up experience matter for entrepreneurs' learning? *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 15(3), 472-489. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14626000810892292>
- Quartey, S. (2019). Knowledge and sustainable competitive advantage of the Eyre Peninsula's fishing industry in Australia. *Knowledge and Process Management*, 26(2), 86-97. <https://doi.org/10.1002/kpm.1592>
- Rahayu, H. (2023). The effect of green intellectual capital on green competitive advantage in sustainable city management. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 13(3), 532-537. <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.14434>
- Redd, T., & Wu, S. (2020). Gender differences in acquiring business support from online social networks. *Jwee*, 1-2, 22-36. <https://doi.org/10.28934/jwee20.12.pp22-36>

- Rezaee, F., & Jafari, M. (2016). The effect of knowledge-based view on sustainable competitive advantage. *Accounting*, 67-80. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ac.2016.1.005>
- Salisu, I., Abdullah, A., Mashi, M., Alam, M., & Hashim, N. (2021). Influences of creativity and resource availability in the intelligent career framework: Empirical investigation of Nigerian entrepreneurs. *Journal of Entrepreneurship in Emerging Economies*, 14(6), 1325-1352. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jeee-03-2021-0117>
- Saragih, M. G., Surya, E. D., Rahayu, S., Harianto, H., Harahap, R., & Widodo, S. (2019, December). Analysis of brand experience and brand satisfaction with brand loyalty through brand trust as a variable mediation. In *Journal of International Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 2, No. 3, pp. 139-148).
- Sari, P. B., Saragih, M. G., Widodo, S., Ario, F., & Nasution, T. A. M. (2023). Exploring the Multifaceted Landscape of Halal Branding Challenges, Opportunities, and Cross-Cultural Dynamics. *Strategies and Applications of Islamic Entrepreneurship*, 184-200.
- Semrau, T., & Werner, A. (2014). How exactly do network relationships pay off? The effects of network size and relationship quality on access to start-up resources. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 38(3), 501-525. <https://doi.org/10.1111/etap.12011>
- Shane, S. (2000). Prior knowledge and the discovery of entrepreneurial opportunities. *Organization Science*, 11(4), 448-469. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.11.4.448.14602>
- Sigmund, S., Semrau, T., & Wegner, D. (2013). Networking ability and the financial performance of new ventures: Moderating effects of venture size, institutional environment, and their interaction. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 53(1), 266-283. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jsbm.12009>
- Smith, J., Smith, C., & Shaw, E. (2017). Embracing digital networks: Entrepreneurs' social capital online. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 32(1), 18-34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2016.10.003>
- Soetanto, D., Huang, Q., & Jack, S. (2018). Obstacles, networking approaches, and entrepreneurial network changes. *European Management Review*, 15(2), 171-189. <https://doi.org/10.1111/emre.12183>
- Surya, E. D., & Ario, F. (2023). Analysis of Green Marketing Tourism Village-Based Tourism Green Satisfaction Towards Green Loyalty. *International Journal of Management, Economic and Accounting*, 1(2), 755-766.
- Upton, R., Broming, E., & Upton, L. (2019). Nascent women entrepreneurs and the influence of social networks on small business outcomes. *Journal of Small Business and Entrepreneurship Development*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.15640/jsbed.v7n1a1>
- Uzunidis, D., Boutillier, S., & Laperche, B. (2014). The entrepreneur's 'resource potential' and the organic square of entrepreneurship: Definition and application to the French case. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/2192-5372-3-1>
- Vlasov, M., Bonnedahl, K., & Vincze, Z. (2018). Entrepreneurship for resilience: Embeddedness in place and in trans-local grassroots networks. *Journal of Enterprising Communities People and Places in the Global Economy*, 12(3), 374-394. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jec-12-2017-0100>
- Weissenberger-Eibl, M., & Schwenk, J. (2009). "Lifeblood knowledge": Dynamic relational capabilities (DRC) and knowledge for firm innovativeness and competitive advantage. *Measuring Business Excellence*, 13(2), 7-16. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13683040910961162>
- Wu, J., & Chen, X. (2011). Leaders' social ties, knowledge acquisition capability, and firm competitive advantage. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 29(2), 331-350. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10490-011-9278-0>
- Xi-hong, Q., Xu, W., & Kong-yue, L. (2010). Do entrepreneurial social networks boost enterprise growth? Evidence from the Pearl River Delta in China. *Frontiers of Business Research in China*, 4(3), 498-513. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11782-010-0108-x>

Bridging Internal Knowledge and Social Networks

- Ye, S., Xiao, Y., Yang, B., & Zhang, D. (2021). The impact mechanism of entrepreneurial team expertise heterogeneity on entrepreneurial decision. *Frontiers in Psychology, 12*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.732857>
- Yin, M., & Jahanshahi, A. (2018). Developing knowledge-based resources: The role of entrepreneurs' social network size and trust. *Sustainability, 10*(10), 3380. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10103380>
- Zhang, J., Soh, P., & Wong, P. (2011). Direct ties, prior knowledge, and entrepreneurial resource acquisitions in China and Singapore. *International Small Business Journal Researching Entrepreneurship, 29*(2), 170-189. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0266242610391931>
- Zhao, F., Barratt-Pugh, L., Standen, P., Redmond, J., & Suseno, Y. (2021). An exploratory study of entrepreneurial social networks in the digital age. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development, 29*(1), 147-173. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jsbed-10-2020-0359>