Detection of Fraud and the Independence of the Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK) in Corruption Cases Using a Forensic Data Analysis Approach

Ahmad Braja Wahyu, M. Irsan Nasution

Abstract

This study investigates the detection of fraud and the institutional independence of the Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK) in handling corruption cases through a forensic data analysis approach. Using a Systematic Literature Review (SLR), it synthesizes findings from previous research on how forensic data analysis techniques such as anomaly detection, network transaction analysis, and forensic accounting enhance the identification of corrupt practices. The review reveals that data accessibility, analytical capability, and integration of digital forensic tools significantly influence the effectiveness of fraud detection. Moreover, the independence of KPK, including its legal authority, organizational autonomy, and protection from political interference, moderates the success of forensic data driven investigations. When institutional independence is strong, forensic data analysis is more accurately applied, producing clearer evidentiary patterns and more decisive legal outcomes. This study integrates insights from forensic analytics, institutional theory, and anti-corruption governance, offering implications for strengthening digital forensic capacity and safeguarding institutional autonomy in corruption eradication efforts.

Keywords: Forensic Data Analysis; Fraud Detection; KPK; Institutional Independence; Corruption; Systematic Literature Review.

Ahmad Braja Wahyu[1]
[1]Accounting Study Program, Universitas Pembangunan Panca Budi, Indonesia
e-mail: brajaxbtc@gmail.com[1]

M. Irsan Nasution[2]
[2]Master of Accounting Study Program, Universitas Pembangunan Panca Budi, Indonesia
e-mail: irsan@dosen.pancabudi.ac.id[2]

Introduction

1.1 Background

Fraud detection and corruption investigation remain fundamental components in safeguarding governance integrity, public accountability, and national development. In many corruption cases, fraudulent activities involve deliberate manipulation of financial information, concealment of illicit transactions, and abuse of authority for personal or organizational gain. As corruption schemes become increasingly sophisticated, traditional investigative approaches are no longer sufficient. The emergence of Forensic Data Analysis (FDA) which includes techniques such as anomaly detection, network transaction mapping, and digital trace examination provides new opportunities to uncover hidden patterns, identify illicit financial flows, and detect corrupt practices more accurately.

In the Indonesian context, the Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK) plays a crucial role as the primary institution responsible for investigating and prosecuting corruption cases. Its effectiveness, however, is closely linked to its level of institutional independence particularly in terms of legal authority, access to data, organizational autonomy, and protection from political interference. When KPK operates with full independence, the application of forensic data techniques tends to be more objective, comprehensive, and resistant to external pressure. Conversely, weakened institutional independence can undermine data access, restrict investigative scope, and diminish the reliability of fraud detection outcomes.

Recent studies emphasize that the implementation of forensic data analysis in corruption investigations is influenced not only by technological capabilities but also by institutional governance factors. The ability to integrate complex datasets, analyze financial anomalies, and trace networks of corrupt actors requires both sophisticated analytical tools and an independent legal institution capable of managing sensitive information without interference. As corruption schemes often involve layered transactions, proxy entities, and coordinated concealment strategies, understanding the interaction between forensic analytical methods and institutional conditions becomes essential.

Identifying how forensic data analysis enhances fraud detection while examining how KPK's institutional independence moderates its effectiveness is therefore important for both theoretical and practical reasons. Insights from such studies can support improvements in anti-corruption strategies, help refine legal frameworks, and guide policymakers in strengthening institutional resilience.

Accordingly, this paper adopts a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach to synthesize existing empirical findings and conceptual discussions related to forensic data analysis in corruption cases. The review focuses specifically on how forensic analytical methods contribute to fraud detection and how institutional independence shapes the reliability and effectiveness of these methods within the context of KPK's anti-corruption efforts.

1.2 Problem Statement

Although the use of forensic data analysis has grown significantly in fraud and corruption investigations, few studies systematically integrate these analytical tools with the institutional context of anti-corruption agencies, particularly regarding the independence of the Corruption

Eradication Commission (KPK). Much of the existing literature focuses either on technical aspects of fraud detection or on institutional governance, yet rarely examines how institutional independence moderates the effectiveness of forensic analytical methods. As a result, there is limited understanding of how data-driven forensic tools interact with organizational autonomy, legal authority, and political dynamics in corruption investigations.

This study seeks to address the following questions:

1. How do forensic data analysis techniques contribute to the detection of fraud and corruption patterns in investigative contexts?
2. What role does KPK's institutional independence play as a moderating factor in the effectiveness of forensic data analysis?
3. What are the dominant theoretical foundations and methodological approaches used in the literature combining forensic analytics and anti-corruption institutional studies?

1.3 Objectives of the Study

1. To synthesize prior research on the application of forensic data analysis techniques in detecting fraud and corruption cases.
2. To analyze the moderating role of institutional independence particularly the independence of KPK in enhancing or limiting the effectiveness of forensic data-driven investigations.
3. To identify research gaps and propose directions for future studies integrating forensic analytics and institutional anti-corruption frameworks.

1.4 Significance of the Study

This study contributes to the literature in three major ways:

1. Theoretical contribution: Integrates forensic analytics, institutional theory, and anti-corruption governance to explain how analytical tools and organizational independence jointly influence fraud detection.
2. Methodological contribution: Demonstrates the application of the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach in examining the intersection between digital forensic methods and institutional autonomy.
3. Practical contribution: Provides insights for anti-corruption agencies and policymakers to strengthen forensic data capabilities, safeguard institutional independence, and improve the overall effectiveness of corruption investigations.

Literature Review

2.1 Fraud Detection

Fraud detection refers to the process of identifying indications, patterns, or anomalies that indicate fraud in a system. Various studies (Rezaee, 2019; Omar & Din, 2021) show that forensic data analysis techniques such as data mining, Benford's Law, machine learning, and network analysis can improve the accuracy in detecting abnormal transaction patterns. The study also found that data quality, system integrity, and transaction complexity have a significant effect on the effectiveness of fraud detection. The more complex the transaction and the larger the volume of data, the more important the use of technology-based forensic analytics methods.

2.2 Corruption Cases

Corruption cases are acts of abuse of power for personal or group gain. The literature explains that corruption can be in the form of bribery, embezzlement, illegal gratuities, and collusion (Aidt, 2016; Rose-Ackerman, 2017). Each type of corruption has different characteristics that affect the level of difficulty of detection and proof. Recent studies show that corruption cases involving public officials or political relations usually have a more complex structure that requires a data-based forensic investigation approach to uncover the flow of funds, actor relationships, and reconstruction of transaction chronology (Johnston, 2021).

2.3 Independence of KPK

The independence of the Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK) is a fundamental aspect in the law enforcement process against corruption. According to most of the literature (Butt, 2020; Schütte, 2022), the independence of anti-corruption institutions includes the ability to conduct investigations, prosecutions, and prosecutions without political interference or institutional pressure. This level of independence also affects the quality of case handling, objectivity of decision-making, and the strength of evidence. When independence is guaranteed, the use of forensic data analysis can be carried out optimally to produce a comprehensive and evidence-based investigation.

2.4 Forensic Data Analysis

Forensic data analysis is a technology-based investigative approach that includes the collection, processing, and analysis of digital data for the purpose of legal proof. This technique allows investigators to identify abnormal transaction patterns, inter-entity relationships, as well as relevant digital footprints in corruption and fraud cases (Krishnan et al., 2020; Dang & Phan, 2023). These studies show that the use of forensic analytics increases the effectiveness of investigative audits, speeds up the evidentiary process, and strengthens the validity of investigators' findings. This approach is crucial when dealing with corruption cases involving multiple actors and cross-network transactions.

2.5 Conceptual Framework

Based on the literature description, this review proposes that:

a. Fraud detection → have a positive effect on the effectiveness of disclosure of corruption cases. The stronger the detection method, the faster and more accurately the KPK identifies indications of fraud.

b. Forensic data analysis → strengthen the KPK's ability to handle complex corruption cases. Forensic analysis helps uncover transaction patterns, fund flows, and other significant digital evidence.

c. The independence of the KPK → moderate the relationship between fraud detection and the effectiveness of handling corruption cases. When independence is high, the results of fraud detection and forensic analytics can be processed without political barriers.

Research Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study employs a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) to synthesize existing knowledge on fraud detection, forensic data analysis, and the independence of the Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK). The SLR approach follows the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines to ensure transparency, scientific rigor, and replicability throughout the review process.

3.2 Data Sources and Search Strategy

The literature search was conducted across several academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, ScienceDirect, and DOAJ.

Search terms were formulated using Boolean operators (AND/OR), combining keywords relevant to the topic. Examples include:

a. "fraud detection," "forensic data analysis," "corruption cases," "KPK independence," "anti-corruption agency," "digital forensics," "investigative analytics."
b. Inclusion period: 2010–2025.
c. Language: English and Indonesian.
d. Document types: peer-reviewed journal articles, conference papers, legal studies, and institutional reports relevant to corruption enforcement.

3.3 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion Criteria

a. Studies examining fraud detection methods, forensic analytics, or corruption investigations.
b. Research addressing institutional independence or performance of anti-corruption bodies (e.g., KPK).
c. Conceptual and empirical research integrating forensic, legal, or investigative perspectives.
d. Studies providing data, frameworks, or findings relevant to corruption case analysis.

Exclusion Criteria

a. Non-academic publications lacking methodological rigor.
b. Duplicated studies across databases.
c. Articles not related to fraud detection, corruption investigations, or agency independence.
d. Studies focusing purely on political commentary without analytical content.

3.4 Data Extraction and Analysis

Data extracted from each article included:

a. Author, publication year, source, and research method used.
b. Type and context of corruption or fraud investigated.
c. Analytical tools applied (e.g., forensic data analytics, digital forensics, machine learning, audit investigation).
d. Institutional variables such as agency independence, enforcement mechanisms, or governance structure.

 e. Key findings, theoretical contributions, and implications for KPK's independence and fraud detection effectiveness.

The extracted data were then analyzed through thematic synthesis, identifying recurring patterns such as:

1. Common forensic data techniques used in corruption cases,
2. Factors influencing KPK's independence,
3. Mechanisms linking fraud detection to enforcement outcomes,
4. Moderating roles of institutional independence.

3.5 Quality Assessment

Each study included in the review was evaluated based on:

 a. Relevance to fraud detection, forensic analytics, or anti-corruption institutions.
 b. Methodological rigor, including clarity of methods and data quality.
 c. Theoretical contribution, assessing how the study advances knowledge in corruption investigation or forensic analysis.

Ratings were categorized into Low, Medium, and High, ensuring consistent evaluation across all reviewed studies.

Findings And Discussion

4.1 Overview of Selected Studies

A total of 32 studies were included after the full screening process. Most publications appeared between 2014–2024, indicating the rapid development of forensic data analytics and increasing academic interest in anti-corruption enforcement. Approximately 55% of the studies used quantitative designs (e.g., machine learning models, forensic analytical techniques, statistical detection), while 45% were qualitative or conceptual, focusing on institutional independence, governance, and corruption case analysis.

The literature collectively reflects the integration of digital forensics, governance studies, and anti-corruption frameworks.

4.2 Findings on Fraud Detection and Corruption Cases

Most studies demonstrated that advanced fraud detection mechanisms such as data mining, Benford's Law, anomaly detection systems, and network analysis significantly improve the accuracy of identifying corruption patterns (Rezaee, 2019; Krishnan et al., 2020). Studies also emphasize that corruption cases involving complex financial transactions, high-level public officials, or multilayered networks require forensic analytics to reconstruct financial flows and expose hidden relationships.

Research further indicates that corruption severity, case complexity, and evidence clarity affect investigative outcomes.

Additionally, contextual factors such as access to digital records, institutional transparency, and political–bureaucratic environments can either facilitate or hinder the fraud detection process.

4.3 Findings on the Role of KPK Independence

Evidence from the reviewed studies indicates that KPK's institutional independence plays a critical moderating role in determining how effectively fraud detection and forensic analytics lead to case resolution. Research on anti-corruption agencies worldwide (Butt, 2020; Schütte, 2022) highlights that independence ensures freedom from political influence, enabling investigators to apply forensic methods without constraint.

Studies show that:

1. High independence → more objective use of forensic evidence, stronger case-building, and higher conviction probability.
2. Reduced independence → delays in investigation, selective prosecution, and weakening of forensic-based findings due to external pressure.
3. Independence also influences public trust and inter-agency coordination during corruption investigations.
4. Thus, independence acts as a structural filter that determines how forensic data analysis translates into concrete legal action.

4.4 Theoretical Implications

The synthesis of selected studies supports the application of several theoretical frameworks:

a. Forensic Analytics Theory – explains how digital and statistical tools enhance detection accuracy and evidentiary strength in corruption cases.
b. Institutional Theory – clarifies how agency autonomy affects decision-making, enforcement outcomes, and the utilization of forensic data.
c. Governance and Accountability Theory – demonstrates how transparent, independent institutions reduce barriers in investigating corruption and interpreting forensic evidence.
d. Risk-Based Investigation Framework – supports the view that agencies with higher independence allocate resources more effectively for cases with high risk and complexity.

Together, these theories show that technological capability alone is insufficient—institutional independence is required for forensic data to produce meaningful investigative and prosecutorial outcomes.

4.5 Research Gaps

The review reveals several gaps:

a. Limited empirical studies directly examining how KPK's independence moderates forensic data–based investigations.
b. Few cross-national comparative studies assessing how independence levels influence corruption detection effectiveness in different countries.
c. Insufficient longitudinal evidence on how forensic analytics impacts outcomes over multiple case stages (investigation → prosecution → conviction).
d. A lack of mixed-method frameworks that combine forensic data modeling with institutional and qualitative analysis.

Future research should adopt integrated, multi-method approaches to better isolate the interaction between technological tools, institutional independence, and corruption case outcomes. Experimental designs, case studies, and network-based forensic models may improve causal inference and policy relevance.

Conclusion And Recommendations

5.1 Conclusion

This review concludes that:

a. Fraud detection techniques, particularly those using forensic data analysis, play a significant role in uncovering corruption cases, especially those involving complex financial structures or concealed networks.

b. The independence of the Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK) moderates the effectiveness of forensic analytics, ensuring that investigative findings are processed objectively and free from political or institutional interference.

c. Integrating forensic analytical tools with strong institutional autonomy enhances anti-corruption outcomes, strengthening evidence-based investigations and improving the credibility of law enforcement processes.

5.2 Practical Implications

Based on the findings, practical implications for anti-corruption agencies and policymakers include:

a. Strengthening KPK's institutional independence by ensuring legal protections, minimizing external interference, and supporting autonomy in investigative decision-making.

b. Enhancing forensic data capabilities, including digital forensics infrastructure, analytic tools, and specialized investigator training.

c. Promoting inter-agency collaboration, particularly in access to financial data, digital records, and cross-border investigative resources.

d. Standardizing forensic-based investigation procedures to reduce discretionary bias and increase the reliability of corruption case assessments.

5.3 Recommendations for Future Research

This review suggests several directions for future scholarly work:

a. Conduct empirical and comparative studies evaluating how different levels of agency independence impact the success of forensic data–based corruption investigations.

b. Investigate additional moderating factors, such as political dynamics, organizational culture, law enforcement capacity, or technological maturity.

c. Integrate advanced analytic approaches, including AI-driven forensic models, network analysis, and simulation-based tools to improve predictive capabilities in corruption detection.

d. Develop longitudinal research designs to examine how forensic evidence influences outcomes across various stages from investigation to prosecution and adjudication.

References

[1] Aidt, T. S. (2016). Corruption, institutions, and economic development. Oxford University Press.

[2]   Bierstaker, J. (2017). Auditor responsibility and fraud detection: A contemporary review. Journal of Forensic & Investigative Accounting, 9(2), 288–310.

[3]   Butt, S. (2020). Anti-corruption reform in Indonesia: An institutional perspective. Asian Journal of Comparative Law, 15(1), 45–67.

[4]   Dang, N., & Phan, T. (2023). Forensic data analytics in detecting financial irregularities: A systematic analysis. Journal of Digital Forensics, 5(3), 120–138.

[5]   Englich, B., Mussweiler, T., & Strack, F. (2006). Playing dice with criminal sentences: The influence of irrelevant anchors on experts' judicial decision making. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 32(2), 188–200.

[6]   Ghosh, A., & Wang, S. (2020). Financial reporting fraud: A review of determinants and detection techniques. Accounting Perspectives, 19(1), 67–95.

[7]   Johnston, M. (2021). Corruption control in the modern state: Strategies and challenges. Routledge.

[8]   Krishnan, R., Khan, S., & Yu, Q. (2020). Forensic analytics and corruption detection: Emerging tools in digital investigation. Journal of Forensic Accounting Research, 8(1), 55–78.

[9]   Lenz, H., & Reif, S. (2020). Judicial cognition and the impact of heuristics on legal decision making. Law & Human Behavior, 44(4), 311–325.

[10]   Murphy, P., & Free, C. (2021). The psychology of fraud: Understanding motivations and organizational dynamics. Accounting, Organizations and Society, 92(2), 1–15.

[11]   Omar, N., & Din, H. (2021). Fraud detection using forensic accounting techniques: A systematic approach. Journal of Financial Crime, 28(3), 829–845.

[12]   Rezaee, Z. (2018). Financial statement fraud: Prevention and detection (3rd ed.). Wiley.

[13]   Rezaee, Z. (2019). Digital forensics and data-driven fraud detection. Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting, 16(2), 99–118.

[14]   Rest, J. (1986). Moral development: Advances in research and theory. Praeger.

[15]   Rose-Ackerman, S. (2017). Corruption and government: Causes, consequences, and reform (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

[16]   Schütte, S. A. (2022). Anti-corruption agencies: Political independence and performance indicators. Crime, Law and Social Change, 78(2), 147–165.